

1 Patient Evaluation and Antiretroviral Treatment for Adults and Adolescents

Clinical Protocol for the WHO European Region



EUROPE

1 Patient Evaluation and Antiretroviral Treatment for Adults and Adolescents

Clinical Protocol for the WHO European Region

Edited by:
Irina Eramova
Srdan Matic
Monique Munz

© World Health Organization 2006

All rights reserved. The Regional Office for Europe of the World Health Organization welcomes requests for permission to reproduce or translate its publications, in part or in full.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Where the designation “country or area” appears in the headings of tables, it covers countries, territories, cities, or areas. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers’ products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

The World Health Organization does not warrant that the information contained in this publication is complete and correct and shall not be liable for any damages incurred as a result of its use. The views expressed by authors or editors do not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of the World Health Organization.

Keywords:

ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY, HIGHLY ACTIVE
HIV INFECTIONS – PREVENTION AND CONTROL – DRUG THERAPY
ADOLESCENT
PATIENT COMPLIANCE
PROGRAM EVALUATION
GUIDELINES
EUROPE

Address requests about publications of the WHO Regional Office for Europe to:

Publications
WHO Regional Office for Europe
Scherfigsvej 8
DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

Alternatively, complete an online request form for documentation, health information, or for permission to quote or translate, on the WHO/Europe web site at <http://www.euro.who.int/pubrequests>

Cover design: Sørine Hoffmann

Text editing: Thomas Petruso and Misha Hoekstra

Typesetting, design and production by Phoenix Design Aid A/S – Denmark

Printed and bound in Denmark on environmentally friendly paper

Table of contents

Abbreviations	1-v
Acknowledgements	1-viii
I. Introduction	1-1
II. Management of patients with HIV	1-2
1. Initial patient evaluation	1-2
1.1. Personal, family and medical history	1-2
1.2. Physical examination.....	1-3
1.3. Laboratory and other examinations	1-5
2. Counselling on issues related to living with HIV	1-6
3. Prevention of opportunistic and other infections.....	1-7
4. Antiretroviral treatment	1-7
4.1. Initiation of ART.....	1-7
4.1.1. Considerations for viral load.....	1-8
4.1.2. Considerations for drug resistance test	1-9
4.2. First-line HAART regimen	1-8
4.2.1. Considerations for NRTI component	1-8
4.2.2. Considerations for NNRTI component	1-9
4.3. Adherence to ART	1-10
4.3.1. Barriers to high adherence and counteracting strategies.....	1-10
4.4. ART failure	1-11
4.4.1. Virological failure	1-11
4.4.2. Immunological failure.....	1-12
4.4.3. Clinical failure	1-12
4.5. Second-line HAART regimen	1-12
4.5.1. Considerations for NRTI component	1-13
4.5.2. Considerations for PI component.....	1-13
4.6. Salvage regimens.....	1-14
4.7. Structured treatment interruption	1-15
5. Clinical monitoring of patients with HIV	1-15
5.1. Monitoring of laboratory indicators before ART.....	1-15
5.2. Monitoring of laboratory indicators in ART patients	1-15
5.3. Monitoring adherence.....	1-16
5.4. Management of ARV toxicity and side-effects.....	1-17
5.5. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS)	1-19
5.6. Drug interactions	1-20
III. Suggested minimum data to be collected at the clinical level.....	1-22
Annex 1. Essential information on personal history of HIV/AIDS treatment and care.....	1-23
Annex 2. Revised WHO clinical staging of HIV/AIDS for adults and adolescents.....	1-24
Annex 3. Resistance tests.....	1-25
Annex 4. Essential information about ARVs.....	1-26
Annex 5. Tools for adherence monitoring.....	1-28

Annex 6. List of antiretroviral drugs	1-29
Annex 7. Glossary	1-32
Annex 8. Beyond the horizon	1-33
References	1-34

Abbreviations

3TC	lamivudine
ABC	abacavir
AG1549	capravirin
AIDS	acquired immune deficiency syndrome
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
ARDS	acquired respiratory distress syndrome
ART	antiretroviral treatment
ARV	antiretroviral
AST	asparate aminotransferase
ATV	atazanavir
BID	twice daily
BUN	blood urea nitrogen
CD4 cell	cluster of differentiation antigen 4 cell
CK	creatine kinase
CMV	cytomegalovirus
CNS	central nervous system
CRP	C-reactive protein
d4T	stavudine
ddC	zalcitabine
ddI	didanosine
DLV	delavirdine
DOT	directly observed treatment
EAP	expanded access programme
EFV	efavirenz
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
ENF	enfurvitide
FDC	fixed-dose combination
FPV	fosamprenavir
FTC	emtricitabine
GI	gastrointestinal
HAART	highly active antiretroviral treatment
HBsAg	hepatitis B surface antigen
HBV	hepatitis B virus
HCV	hepatitis C virus
HDL	high-density lipoprotein
HIV	human immunodeficiency virus
HPV	human papillomavirus
HSV	herpes simplex virus
IC50	50% inhibitory concentration
IDU	injecting drug user
IDV	indinavir
IgG	immunoglobulin G
INR	international normalized ratio
IRIS	immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome
LDH	lactate dehydrogenase
LFT	liver function test
LPV	lopinavir

MAI	<i>Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare</i>
MEMS	Medication Event Monitoring System
MOTT	mycobacteria other than tubercle bacilli (atypical mycobacteria)
MTCT	mother-to-child transmission
NAM	nucleoside analogue mutation
NFV	nelfinavir
NNRTI	non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor
NRTI	nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor
NVP	nevirapine
OD	once daily
OI	opportunistic infection
OST	opioid substitution therapy
PCP	<i>Pneumocystis jirovecii</i> pneumonia (formerly <i>P. carinii</i> pneumonia)
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PGL	persistent generalized lymphadenopathy
PI	protease inhibitor
PIT	pill identification test
PLWHA	people living with HIV and AIDS
PML	progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy
PPI	proton pump inhibitor
/r	low dose ritonavir (for boosted PI)
RTV	ritonavir
SQV	saquinavir
TAM	thymidine analogue mutation
TB	tuberculosis
TDF	tenofovir
TDM	therapeutic drug monitoring
TID	three times daily
TMC114	darunavir
TMC125	etravirin
TPV	tipranavir
TSH	thyroid-stimulating hormone
VDRL	venereal disease research laboratory
VL	viral load
VLDL	very-low-density lipoprotein
WHO	World Health Organization
ZDV	zidovudine (also know as azidothymidine (AZT))

Acknowledgements

This clinical protocol has been developed and written by Christian Traeder (Vivantes Auguste-Victoria-Klinikum, Germany), Irina Eramova (WHO Regional Office for Europe, Denmark) and Srdan Matic (WHO Regional Office for Europe, Denmark). It is one of 13 clinical protocols released by the WHO Regional Office for Europe in 2006 as a part of the HIV/AIDS Treatment and Care Clinical Protocols of the WHO European Region.

The editors and writing team are grateful for additional technical input and comments from: Keikawuz Arasteh (Vivantes Auguste-Victoria-Klinikum, Germany), John Bartlett (Johns Hopkins University, United States), Josip Begovac (University Hospital of Infectious Diseases, Croatia), Charles Gilks (WHO Headquarters, Switzerland), Stine Nielsen (WHO Regional Office for Europe, Denmark) and Marco Vitoria (WHO Headquarters, Switzerland).

Special thanks go to the following people at the WHO Regional Office for Europe who contributed at various stages of production: Bente Drachmann, Nico Kerski, Jeffrey V. Lazarus and Andrea Nelsen.

Irina Eramova, Srdan Matic and Monique Munz
Sexually transmitted infections/HIV/AIDS programme
WHO Regional Office for Europe

I. Introduction

HIV/AIDS is chronic lifelong disease with no known cure, and therefore, people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) have to be followed medically for the rest of their lives (1–3). The core component of treatment and care of PLWHA is provision of antiretroviral treatment (ART). Optimal ART increases the length and quality of life of HIV-infected patients, and reduces the onward transmission of the virus.

The goals of ART are:

- Clinical: prolongation of life and improvement of its quality;
- Virological: maximum possible reduction of the viral load for the longest possible time, in order to halt the progression of disease and prevent and delay the development of drug resistance;
- Immunological: quantitative and qualitative immunological reconstitution, in order to prevent the onset of opportunistic infections;
- Therapeutic: rational selection and sequencing of drugs, in order to preserve therapeutic options, minimize drug toxicity and side effects and maximize adherence; and
- Epidemiological: reduction of HIV transmission. (4)

Medical history, examination findings, exact history or antiretroviral treatment (ART), laboratory results, results of other medical procedures and social circumstances need to be documented for the entire treatment period, which may be years or even decades long. Such records are crucial for the individual patient as well as for retrospective analysis (for example, in endoscopic procedures, CT scanning, advanced microbiologic testing or viral load (VL) testing). For such purposes, an electronic record-keeping system is advisable, especially at the clinical level. Confidentiality of medical information should be ensured.

Optimal HIV-related treatment and care should be delivered by clinical teams. The core clinical team providing basic medical case-management of a patient should ideally consist of a physician (often an infectious disease specialist), a nurse and a social worker or a non-medical service provider. Each of the team members has distinctive roles in providing treatment and care, and their services should be complementary. A network of other specialists and self-help groups should be available in supporting PLWHA (5). For medical questions, the network should include the specialties on hepatology, endoscopic examinations, dermatology (with expertise in proctoscopic examination), ophthalmology, neurology, surgery, pathology, oncology, gynaecology and sometimes urology and psychiatry.

II. Management of patients with HIV

Clinical evaluation of patients should include testing and counselling services for health maintenance issues related to HIV as well as to other conditions that may interact with the management of HIV infection, especially potential interactions with ART.

1. Initial patient evaluation

The initial evaluation of a patient aims at determining the full status of his/her HIV disease, to develop a basis for further clinical management and for referral to non-medical services as appropriate.

Initial patient evaluation should include:

- a detailed personal, family and medical history;
- physical examination;
- laboratory and other examinations; and
- specialist examinations, as appropriate.

1.1. Personal, family and medical history

Patients newly diagnosed with HIV infection or new patients who have been treated and observed elsewhere should provide a complete history before physical examination (6). See Table 1.

TABLE 1.	MEDICAL HISTORY INFORMATION REQUIRED AT INITIAL PATIENT EVALUATION
General information:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • patient's name • date of birth • sex • date of assessment 	
Testing information:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • date of first positive HIV test • reason for being tested • last HIV-negative test, if known 	
HIV exposure risk and transmission category (if known):	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • injecting drug use • sexual (heterosexual, homosexual, types of sexual contact: oral, vaginal, anal) • blood or blood product transfusion, organ and tissue transplantation • mother-to-child transmission • occupational exposure (describe) • unknown • HIV status of sexual partner(s) (if known) • risk factor of sexual partner(s), if known 	
Time and place (country) of infection most probable or known^a	
History of HIV treatment and care: (see Annex 1)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • time and place of previous treatment or HIV-related services, including treatment interruptions • drug regimens • side-effects • adherence • laboratory data (CD4 count, VL, electrolytes, liver function, renal function, full blood count, in chronological order for patients with longer infections (several years' duration) (7)) • documented results of previous resistance tests (if performed) 	

<p>HIV-related illnesses and conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • tuberculosis • respiratory infections • viral, other bacterial and fungal infections • hepatitis C and B • neoplasms • other
<p>Other illnesses and conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • hospitalizations • surgery • mental health conditions (depression, etc.) • kidney or liver diseases • endocrinological disorders • sexually transmitted infections • vaccinations • allergies • body changes • current medications
<p>Family medical history (diabetes, hypertension, skin disorders, malignancies, etc)</p>
<p>Cardiovascular disease and disease risks (obesity, smoking, hypertension, etc.)</p>
<p>Exposure to tuberculosis (TB) (personal and household TB contacts)^b</p>
<p>Current medications (including opioid substitution therapy (OST))</p>
<p>Substance use:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • illicit drug use (past and present) • alcohol consumption
<p>Reproductive and sexual health:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • contraceptive methods in female patients • pregnancies (past, current, planned) • sexual practices (oral, anal, vaginal)
<p>Social history</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • living situation (partners/spouses/family members, children, etc) • employment and occupation • support networks (social and medical insurance, community groups, who knows of patient’s HIV status, etc)

^a Useful for epidemiology, subtype of virus and possibly a drug resistance strain profile.

^b For further evaluation on TB please refer to Protocol 4, *Management of tuberculosis and HIV coinfection*.

1.2. Physical examination

The physical examination should document presenting symptoms and signs and reproducible results so that other physicians can determine changes in status. A standardized history and examination questionnaire is preferable; see Table 2.

TABLE 2.	INITIAL PHYSICAL EXAMINATION
General appearance:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • height and weight • lipodystrophy • Karnowsky index or other standardized scale for general fitness 	
Vital signs:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • blood pressure • temperature • pulse 	
Head:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enoral changes • teeth status • oral candidiasis • oral hairy leukoplakia • primary syphilis • facial skin 	
Thorax:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • chest signs (breathing, cough, dyspnoea) • form of thorax • control for risk of emphysema 	
Mamma examination (in female and male patients) to control for risk of carcinoma	
Cardiac examination for baseline information when there may be higher risk for cardiovascular complications with ART (8, 9) or risk for endocarditis in injecting drug users (IDUs)	
Abdominal examination and gastrointestinal system (for baseline information for ART side-effects, especially in cases of chronic hepatitis, alcohol toxicity and cirrhosis):	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • consistency, size and shape of liver and spleen • bowel movement • tenderness • rigidity • nausea, vomiting, dysphagia 	
Genital and anal region examination:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • herpes simplex • cytomegalovirus (CMV) • syphilis • Human papilloma virus (HPV), (condylomata acuminatae, anal carcinoma)(10), other STIs • erectile dysfunction 	
Legs (movement, mobility, lipodystrophy) to provide baseline information for ART side-effects	
Skin (entire body):	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • former herpes zoster • liver disease • Kaposi sarcoma • seborrhoeic dermatitis • injection sites in IDUs 	
The documentation of skin disorders such as discoloured brown or dark patches is best made with photos; other possibilities include drawing the area of a patch on transparent foil, to be able to compare in future in examinations.	
Lymph nodes	
Neurological status (also signs of neuropathy)	
Mental status	
Eye and ear functions	

1.3. Laboratory and other examinations

TABLE 3.	LABORATORY TESTING
<p>HIV-related testing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HIV serological testing (typically an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or rapid blood test), followed by confirmatory test (typically western blot) (11); • CD4 cell count to determine the severity of immunodeficiency; in pregnant women CD4 % (12, 13); and • viral load testing by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), to determine level of viral replication^a. 	
<p>Other infectious disease testing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • venereal disease research laboratory (VDRL) test for syphilis; • vaginal, penile or anal (as appropriate) swab for gonorrhoea and Chlamydia trachomatis; • toxoplasma immunoglobulin G (IgG) serological test and information about risk of infection if negative; • Cryptococcus antigen titre when CD4 cell count is <200/mm³ with clinical signs of cryptococcosis; • CMV antigenaemia (pp65 early antigen), when CD4 cell count is <100/mm³,^b and • serological tests for hepatitis C and B viruses (HCV and HBV) – i.e. HCV antibodies and hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg)^c. 	
<p>General laboratory testing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • electrolytes (sodium, potassium); • liver function (alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase); • bilirubin; • renal function (blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine); • lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) (general turnover of cells in lymphomas, signs of pulmonary infections, myocardial infarction, muscle damage, etc.); • quick (international normalized ratio (INR) test or prothrombine time); • full blood count with differential and platelets; and • pregnancy test before initiating ART. 	
<p>If available:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • fasting glucose • cholesterol (high-density lipoprotein (HDL), very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL)) • triglycerides • lipase • C-reactive protein (CRP) • thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) 	

^a Performance of tests by the same laboratory is preferable to rule out technical discrepancies.

^b Very early detection of CMV infection is possible, and is a good marker for treatment response in CMV infection.

^c For further information on testing of hepatitis, please refer to Protocols 6 and 7, *Management of hepatitis C and HIV coinfection* and *Management of hepatitis B and HIV coinfection*.

TABLE 4.	OTHER EXAMINATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • tuberculin skin test^a • sputum-smear microscopy and chest X-ray if TB signs are present • ECG (baseline for comparison due to greater risk for cardiovascular disease with ART) (14) 	

^a For further information on tuberculin skin tests please refer to Protocol 4, *Management of tuberculosis and HIV coinfection*.

Other examinations may be necessary, depending on individual comorbidities, for example, in HCV/HIV or HBV/HIV coinfection, abdominal ultrasound to assess lymph nodes, size and shape of liver and spleen; or in presence of clinical signs of gastrointestinal (GI) tract disease, endoscopy of the upper and lower GI tract. Endoscopic findings should be documented with photos.

TABLE 5.	SPECIALIST CONSULTATIONS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • neurological examination when HIV is first diagnosed (for peripheral polyneuropathy); • ophthalmological examination every three months for CMV retinitis when CD4 count is <100/mm³; • gynaecological examination including a Pap smear every six months (for human papillomavirus-mediated (HPV-mediated) carcinoma);¹ and, • other specialist consultations as needed. 	

2. Counselling on issues related to living with HIV

Proper management of patients living with HIV/AIDS is a comprehensive lifelong process focused on the patient's needs. It should include:

- monitoring patient health;
- initiating ART and its maintenance;
- prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections (OIs), other coinfections and comorbidities;
- psychological support;
- adherence support;
- counselling; and
- referrals to provide continuity of care.

Patient counselling is an essential component of patient management strategy. It should start with the assessment and discussion of the patient's social conditions, which may be predictors of cooperation during treatment. These include:

- partnership status and quality
- employment status, type of work and conditions
- people who should be informed of the HIV status
- people with whom health care workers can discuss the patient's health-related matters
- familial relationships
- availability of safe refrigerated storage for medications
- lifestyle factors that might interfere with treatment (15–17).

Health care providers who counsel PLWHA should ensure that certain information is discussed and understood by the patient.

- Risk reduction (safe sex, injecting practices, etc.) must be explained, including the danger that unprotected sex with HIV-positive partners could lead to super-infection with another HIV strain and possible resistance to antiretrovirals (ARVs) (18).
- In case of negative toxoplasma serology, the transmission route and ways to prevent infection should be explained (including risks associated with pets). (See Protocol 2, *Management of opportunistic infections and general symptoms of HIV/AIDS*).
- As HBV/HIV and HCV/HIV coinfections are common and present further medical difficulties, their prevention must be emphasized. It is equally important to advise on reducing the risk of liver-related harm and preventing mother-to-child transmission (MTCT)².
- Patients need to be informed about signs of possible OIs, and encouraged to have further evaluation. For further information, see Protocol 2, *Management of opportunistic infections and general symptoms of HIV/AIDS*.

¹ There is no hard evidence to recommend routine rectal PAP smears at the time of writing this protocol. For more information please refer to the Protocol 9. *Support for sexual and reproductive health of people living with HIV/AIDS*.

² For further information see Protocol 6 *Management of hepatitis C and HIV coinfection*, Protocol 7 *Management of hepatitis B and HIV coinfection* and Protocol 8 *Prevention of hepatitis A, B, C and other hepatotoxic factors in people living with HIV/AIDS*.

- The importance of stopping illicit drug use needs to be discussed with users. If a patient is unable or unwilling to stop, the merits of harm-reduction measures should be discussed, including the merits of reducing drug use; not injecting; not sharing needles, syringes or other injecting paraphernalia; and drug dependence therapy (such as OST). For more information, please refer to Protocol 5, *HIV/AIDS treatment and care for injecting drug users*.
- Based on the assessment of social conditions, healthy daily habits – sleep, nutrition, exercise – should be encouraged.
- Patients about to initiate ART should be counselled on:
 - adherence (see section II.4.3 below)
 - possible antiretroviral (ARV) toxicity (see section II.5.4 below)
 - drug interactions (see section II.5.6 below)
 - reliable contraception when the ARV regimen will contain EFV (for further information refer to Protocol 9, *Support for sexual and reproductive health*).
- Patients should also be informed about legal responsibilities (if applicable) and their rights and be referred to other appropriate services.
- Patients should be informed of issues related to immunization (including travel) and occupational risks.

3. Prevention of opportunistic and other infections

PLWHA should be immunized against hepatitis B and A and influenza. For further information, please refer to Protocol 12, *Immunization of people living with HIV/AIDS and people at risk for HIV*.

Every patient with a CD4 cell count less than 200 cells/mm³ should be given prophylaxis against certain opportunistic infections, in particular *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (PCP). Co-trimoxazole should be given until the CD4 cell count is >200/mm³ for more than three months after initiating ART. For more information please refer to Protocol 2, *Management of opportunistic infections and general symptoms of HIV/AIDS*.

4. Antiretroviral treatment

4.1. Initiation of ART

The best point at which to start ART is under discussion (19). A review of several cohort studies and guidelines shows a widespread view that CD4 count is the best marker and viral load the secondary marker for this decision (20–30). Prior to starting ART, support to ensure adherence should be initiated; see section II.4.3 below.

WHO recommends initiation of ART using clinical and immunological criteria as per Table 6.

TABLE 6. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR INITIATING ART IN PLWHA		
WHO Clinical Stage ^a	CD4 cell count	Recommendation
1	<200/mm ³	Treat
	200–350/mm ³	Consider treatment ^{b,c}
2	<200/mm ³	Treat
	200–350/mm ³	Consider treatment ^{b,c}
3	200–350/mm ³	Treat
4	Regardless of CD4 count	Treat

^a See Annex 2 for description of the clinical stages.

^b When the CD4 count is around 350 cells, begin discussions with the patient on the advancing need for initiating ART and on preparations for starting.

^c Viral load is associated with loss of CD4 cells. In case of high viral load (>100 000 copies/ml) the probability of rapid fall of CD4 cell count is very high. Therefore, it is recommended to start ART at CD4 of 350/mm³ if the viral load is high.

The decision to initiate ART should be based on two different CD4 count 14–28 days apart to rule out laboratory mistakes and other variances (for example, concurrent illnesses).

4.1.1. Considerations for viral load

Viral load on its own is not a marker for initiating ART. However, in case of viral load >100 000 copies/ml (this can go as high as 1 million copies), the fall in CD4 count can be rapid. While viral load testing is more expensive, it is important to have this baseline information in order to monitor the effectiveness of treatment. If the viral load is unavailable, then CD4 count and clinical symptoms are enough to make decisions about treatment initiation. In settings where HIV PCR testing is not available, immunological and clinical criteria are enough for initiation of ART. The absence of PCR and viral load data should not be criteria for treatment exclusion.

4.1.2. Considerations for drug resistance test

Opinions on drug resistance testing prior to first-line treatment vary. In areas with high primary resistance, such testing is advisable. In Europe, multicentric studies showed a 10% overall prevalence of resistance in newly diagnosed HIV-infected individuals between 1996 and 2002 (31). A study of 40 cities in the United States revealed a resistance rate of 14% (32). The highest results from these studies were 26% in Spain (33) and 19% in San Francisco (34). Data from Slovenia suggest a low rate of 3.9% (35). Experts suggest resistance testing prior to initiating ART in newly diagnosed patients where resources are available (36–38), or establishing resistance sentinel surveillance where resources are limited. However, ART can be initiated without ARV drug resistance testing. Refer to Annex 3 for additional information on resistance testing.

4.2. First-line HAART regimen

It is recommended that two nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and one non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI) be combined in the first-line ART regimen. For recommended dosages, please refer to Annex 4.

TABLE 7. RECOMMENDED FIRST-LINE HAART	
ART regimen	Combination of drugs
2 NRTIs + 1 NNRTI	ZDV + 3TC + (EFV ^a or NVP) or TDF + FTC + (EFV ^a or NVP) or ABC + 3TC + (EFV ^a or NVP)

^a EFV is highlighted as the preferred NNRTI.

4.2.1. Considerations for NRTI component

- The “backbone” of first-line ART is a combination of two NRTIs. One should be lamivudine (3TC) or emtricitabine (FTC) (39), and the second is most often zidovudine (ZDV). A large body of data and provider experience is available for ZDV, as it was the first known ARV drug.
- Other possible NRTIs are tenofovir (TDF) or abacavir (ABC) in combination with 3TC or FTC. Recent studies show a slight superiority of TDF/FTC over ZDV/3TC when used in combination with efavirenz (EFV) (40), probably due to a lower rate of side-effects in the TDF arm. Further studies are needed. It should be noted that ABC has a risk of dangerous hypersensitivity syndrome.
- The advantage of TDF and ABC is their resistance profile, which allows more NRTI combinations in the future; the disadvantages are some serious side-effects and the effectiveness data, which is less comprehensive than the data for ZDV (41). ARVs are available in the following fixed-dose combinations (FDCs), or one-pill formulations:
 - ZDV + 3TC

- TDF + FTC
- ABC + 3TC.
- An additional advantage of TDF/FTC and ABC/3TC is the availability of a once-daily regimen.
- All other NRTI combinations need a good reason to be used in first-line treatment. Some combinations are not advisable (42), and others should undergo extensive monitoring for side-effects. Recent studies have shown a higher rate of side-effects with stavudine (d4T) (40–47). Therefore, d4T should only be chosen if other options are not available or are contraindicated.

Certain rules pertain to the use of NRTIs.

- Do not combine “d-drugs” (ddI (didanosine), ddC (zalcitabine), d4T).
- Do not give single d-drugs with pre-existing polyneuropathy.
- Do not combine ZDV and d4T.
- Do not combine 3TC and FTC.

4.2.2. Considerations for NNRTI component

- The best available data are for the regimen of ZDV + 3TC + EFV (48–50). This three-pill combination is given in two doses per day. It is fast acting, the viral load falls rapidly in the first two weeks with EFV, the increase of CD4 count is comparable to other regimens and problems are limited. A close look at psychiatric comorbidity is mandatory before initiating this regimen, however.
- Nevirapine (NVP) is another recommended NNRTI for combination with the NRTIs. Its toxicity with hepatic disorders is potentially higher (51) so its use is limited to female patients with CD4 count <250 cells/mm³ and males with CD4 count <400 cells/mm³. CD4 counts higher than these are associated with more hepatic toxicity. The effectiveness of NVP is comparable to that of EFV (52). There is a 14-day lead-in period with 200 mg NVP once daily (OD) when starting this regimen, for better tolerance. After 14 days, the dosage should be increased to 200 mg twice daily (BID). The possibility of once daily administration is under investigation.
- Delavirdine (DLV), a third NNRTI, is not in use in Europe. It shows a poorer response than the other NNRTIs, has more side-effects and has required a high pill burden, though today it is better, with two 200 mg tablets three times a day (TID). It might be used in cases where other NNRTIs are not tolerated or there is a special resistance.
- A one-class regimen – a “triple nuke” or “quadruple nuke” using only three or four NRTIs – might be an alternative.³ Though data for this regimen suggest initially good results, there is a higher risk of developing resistance after 24 weeks of treatment (48). Consequently, three-NRTI regimens should only be used in special situations, such as coinfections with TB or HCV requiring concomitant treatment (see Protocol 6, *Management of hepatitis C and HIV coinfection*, and Protocol 4, *Management of tuberculosis and HIV coinfection*), or as an alternative if NNRTIs are not available.
- The combination of two NNRTIs with one NRTI is not recommended (53).
- Boosted PIs can be used as part of the first-line ART in combination with two NRTIs when there are contraindications for NNRTIs (i.e. neither EFV nor NVP can be prescribed):
 - psychiatric disorders and an increase of the ALT level by more than 3–5 fold;
 - cirrhosis;
 - pregnancy with CD4 count of 250–350 cells/mm³.
- If a first-line ART regimen containing a protease inhibitor (PI) fails, there are reduced options for next-line regimens. A failing PI regimen has, in consequence, more resistance patterns than a failing NNRTI regimen (point mutation in NNRTI class). In general, it is recommended that PIs be left to second-line ART.

³ “Triple nuke”: a tablet consisting of ZDV, 3TC and ABC as a FDC, taken as a twice-daily regimen (ZDV is not suitable for once-daily treatment). Presently this is the simplest form of triple therapy.

4.3. Adherence to ART

Optimal treatment benefits require strict adherence to ART. It is well recognized that when adherence is high, there is a dramatic reduction in HIV-associated morbidity and mortality (54), whereas low adherence leads to rapid development of drug resistance (55). Effective adherence levels have not been fully defined for ART (there being differences between a number of regimens), but levels lower than 95% have been associated with poor virological and immunological response, while levels of 100% seem to achieve even greater benefit than 95% (56, 57). The most recent data show a correlation between drug resistance in various classes of ARVs and adherence (58).

Low or insufficient adherence has consequences for patients, public health and national economies.

- Patients are in danger of developing significant viral resistance, treatment failure and disease progression (59, 60). Changing to a new regimen after treatment failure results, in most cases, in more difficult adherence (more pills, side-effects, dietary restrictions, toxicity and dosing complexity).
- The increase in resistant viruses is likely to result in their transmission to newly infected individuals. Data from the United States (61) and Europe (62) suggest that such primary resistance is increasing, and that acquired resistance has a negative effect on ART response.
- Economically, the presence of resistant strains will result in increased use of second-line, third-line and salvage regimens, which are in general more expensive than first-line regimens.
- Low adherence also means a higher risk of disease progression, resulting in higher costs for treating opportunistic infections (63).

4.3.1. Barriers to high adherence and counteracting strategies

4.3.1.1. Patient factors and strategies

The role of patients themselves is fundamental. One cannot predict patients' adherence potential. Studies investigating the role of gender, race, age, mode of transmission and educational level as indicators of adherence have produced inconsistent results (64). Individual adherence rates also vary over time (65). Most PLWHA under treatment will exhibit low adherence at some time.

Possible reasons for low adherence include:

- drug and alcohol use (may impair routine use of medication)
- poor diet due to poverty
- religious beliefs (66)
- fear of disclosing HIV status through routine medications
- psychiatric conditions (67)
- fear of side-effects and doubts about the necessity of medication (68).

Possible counteracting strategies include:

- education on the need for ART
- addressing patient misconceptions promptly
- regular evaluation of patient commitment to ART
- peer intervention (groups)
- regular assessment of mental health problems
- assessing behavioural skills needed for adherence⁴
- contacting specialized social care services and other institutions.

4.3.1.2. Provider factors

Health care providers should clearly understand adherence and its role in resistance development when providing adherence support. Professionals working in the area of HIV/AIDS require con-

⁴ These can be augmented by contacting people who can help (nurses, pharmacy, family), and by using timetables, pill boxes with clocks, pill-taking routines, strategies for travel and managing disclosure or discovery by others.

tinuous education in adherence issues. There are several strategies that health care workers should employ to increase adherence.

- Every HIV treatment centre should have a written and regularly reviewed adherence strategy.
- Health professionals need to be engaged in adherence support programmes (69).
- Exploring patient preferences for involvement may act as a catalyst to adherence.
- Adherence services should be offered to all patients, taking into account the varying degrees of adherence that all patients show over the course of treatment.
- Adherence support should be continued for second-line and salvage regimens. Treatment failure is a key point for reinforcing adherence and support interventions (70).
- As high adherence is a process and not a single event (71), support must be offered when starting ART, changing ART and as a routine follow-up.
- Providers must ensure that patients have sufficient understanding of HIV, the relationship between adherence and resistance, the requirements of their regimen and potential side-effects. Verbal information should be supported by written information.
- The partnership between clinics and community-based organizations can improve the uptake of information, especially among hard-to-reach populations and ethnic groups.
- Pill diaries, pill charts, medication containers and enlistment of family and friends as reminders can all be recommended by health care providers (72).
- Adherence to ART is improved where patients view their relationship with their doctor and other health care providers positively (73).
- Early follow-up should occur two days after initiating or changing a regimen, to evaluate whether the patient needs more information or has unregistered problems.

4.3.1.3. Regimen factors and strategies

- Dosing more than two times a day is associated with lower adherence levels (74), while there is probably no adherence difference between one or two daily doses (75). In regimens with single or double daily dosing, more of the doses are taken at a time. Taking the dose later than prescribed has been associated with treatment failure in multivariate analysis (76).
- Adherence levels are not correlated with any ARV class. However, conflicting dietary rules for different drugs can be a problem (77).
- A low pill burden is associated with the likelihood of having a viral load below 50 copies/ml after 48 weeks (75).
- Harmful drug interactions and side-effects can influence adherence. Doses can be missed due to vomiting or diarrhoea, and fatigue can cause patients to sleep past doses (78).

Possible counteracting strategies include:

- evaluating lifestyle factors like eating, sleeping and working patterns and adjusting the regimen accordingly;
- assessing individual preferences for regimen characteristics such as pill size, formulation, burden, dietary restrictions, etc.;
- showing patients the pills prior to regimen selection; and
- education about side-effects, prompt palliation of them and information about support.

4.4. ART failure

Treatment failure can be evaluated by measuring viral load and CD4 cell count as well as by clinical examination.

4.4.1. Virological failure

- VL is the earliest indicator of treatment success or failure, followed by CD4 cell count approximately a month later. In rare cases, a paradoxical reaction of virological response and immunological failure occurs; consequently, VL should be seen in combination with CD4 cell count.
- Failure to decrease viral load to <400 copies/ml by week 24 of treatment or <50 copies/ml by week 48 means virological failure.
- When the viral load has already decreased to an undetectable level, but two measurements are >400–1000 copies/ml in 4 to 8 weeks, it means there is a risk of virological failure (79). Remaining on the regimen increases the risk of further mutations and higher resistance to more drugs.
- “Blips” are slight elevations of viral load, from under the testing threshold to around 50–200 copies/ml. They may happen without the development of resistant virus strains (laboratory errors), but should be an indicator for a discussion of adherence (80). In this situation, therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) may also be helpful. Any blip should be controlled within four weeks.
- If no reason is found for virological failure (poor adherence, suboptimal drug levels, drug–drug interactions, etc.), a second-line regimen should be discussed.⁵

4.4.2. Immunological failure

- If VL is not available, CD4 cell count response on its own should be used as an indicator of treatment failure or success.
- Failure to increase CD4 cell count more than 50 cells/mm³ during the first year of ART is considered immunological failure. On average, a CD4 increase of about 150 cells/mm³ occurs in the first year in treatment-naive patients (81, 82).
- If the CD4 cell count does not increase in the nine months after initiating ART, second-line ART should be considered. If VL is below the test limits, the regimen should be continued. If it is greater than 400–1000, adherence should be verified and a second-line regimen initiated.
- If the CD4 cell count does not increase for six months, adherence to treatment should be reassessed and ensured.

4.4.3. Clinical failure

Presentation of an OI or other HIV-related illness after initiation of ART might be an indicator of clinical failure. It may be a sign of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS), especially in the first three months of starting ART with CD count <50 cells/mm³ (see section II.5.5 below). Again, viral load is valuable in deciding to go to a second-line regimen.

TABLE 8. CRITERIA FOR TREATMENT FAILURE			
	Virological failure	Immunological failure	Clinical failure
Marker	VL	CD count	OI (HIV-associated)
Time^a	24 weeks; 48 weeks	24–48 weeks	After 12 weeks of treatment initiation
Limits^a	>400 copies/ml; >50 copies/ml	Increase to <50 cells/mm ³	OI (rule out IRIS)

^a Time and limits have to be seen as a range, not as absolute and strict numbers.

⁵ If drug-resistance prevalence is known to be more than 7% in the area, resistance testing can be done during the failing regimen or in the 2–4 weeks after cessation. Otherwise, results may show no resistance at all due to the faster growth of wild-type virus, which often has higher viral fitness than the resistant strain.

4.5. Second-line HAART regimen

(For recommended dosages, please refer to Annex 4.)

- A combination whose backbone includes one of the PIs, which are known for higher genetic barriers, is recommended for use in second-line ART.
- Second-line ART is recommended only in case of proven treatment failure (see the previous section, II.4.4).
- Initiating second-line treatment has to be accompanied by strong efforts to reassess and support adherence (see section II.4.3 above).
- Minimum changes for a second-line regimen are two new NRTI drugs. Never change only one drug in cases of suspected resistance.
- Due to the long half-life of EFV and NVP, stopping all three drugs in a regimen at the same time means that EFV or NVP will remain in the blood in measurable levels longer than NRTIs, with a risk for point mutations.
- In stopping a NRTI/NNRTI regimen, it may be thus reasonable to stop the NNRTI first and the NRTIs approximately seven days later, though there are not sufficient data to support this suggestion.

4.5.1. Considerations for NRTI component

- If the first-line ART included ZDV + 3TC, then ABC in combination with ddI (or TDF with dose-adjusted ddI and close monitoring) may be an option (83).
- Patients who began with TDF or ABC may now benefit from ZDV (84), due to the higher likelihood of resistance. For instance, the K65R mutation promoted by TDF and ABC increases susceptibility to ZDV (85, 86).
- 3TC is also useful in cases of 3TC resistance, as the regularly acquired 184V mutation reduces viral fitness and also increases susceptibility to ZDV (85).

4.5.2. Considerations for PI component

- With a first-line regimen containing a NNRTI, second-line ART should include a PI.
- In the PI class, the majority of drugs are boosted with a low dose of ritonavir (RTV or /r), itself a PI, 100 mg BID – except nelfinavir (NFV), which is boosted not chemically but with food. The means of boosting is ritonavir's inhibition of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 isoenzyme. Subsequently, the drug levels of the main PIs (except NFV) are increased (87). RTV is used only for boosting other PIs and is not effective as a stand-alone ARV.
- The differences among the PIs lie in the number of mutations needed to develop resistance and in the profile of their side-effects.
- The highest genetic barrier for resistance is documented for boosted lopinavir (LPV/r) (88).
- The resistance profiles of ritonavir-boosted atazanavir (ATV/r), fosamprenavir (FPV/r), indinavir (IDV/r) and saquinavir (SQV/r) show slight differences that have little or no clinical impact.
- ATV can be given without the booster RTV in a 400 mg daily dose.
- Nelfinavir (NFV) seems to be inferior to the other PIs, but it is well documented in pregnant women. In case of failure, the D30N mutation is usually selected; it does not encode for cross-resistance for other PIs (89, 90).
- LPV/r is the PI of choice due to its well-documented potency (91). A new tablet formulation of LPV/r has been approved in Europe requiring two pills BID and no refrigeration (92).
- The newer formulation of amprenavir in the form of fosamprenavir, the once-daily PI atazanavir (93) and the new formulation of saquinavir (500 mg tablets) have not been directly tested against LPV/r. Therefore, only indirect data are available (94). Further studies are needed.
- Possible side-effects, comorbidities, drug interactions and individual preferences should influence the choice of PI.

TABLE 9. RECOMMENDED SECOND-LINE ARV REGIMENS FOR ADULTS AND ADOLESCENTS	
First-line regimen	Second-line regimens after treatment failure
ZDV + 3TC + (EFV or NVP)	LPV/r ^a (or ATV/r, SQV/r, FPV/r, IDV/r) + ddI + ABC or LPV/r ^a (or ATV/r, SQV/r, FPV/r, IDV/r) + TDF + ABC or LPV/r ^a (or ATV/r, SQV/r, FPV/r, IDV/r) + TDF + (ZDV + 3TC) ^b
TDF + FTC + (EFV or NVP)	LPV/r ^a (or ATV/r, SQV/r, FPV/r, IDV/r) + ddI + ABC or LPV/r ^a (or ATV/r, SQV/r, FPV/r, IDV/r) + ddI + ZDV
ABC + 3TC + (EFV or NVP)	LPV/r ^a (or ATV/r, SQV/r, FPV/r, IDV/r) + ddI + ZDV or LPV/r ^a (or ATV/r, SQV/r, FPV/r, IDV/r) + ZDV + TDF (+ 3TC) ^b

^a LPV/r is listed as the preferred RTV-boosted PI in this table, but other boosted PIs can be substituted, based on individual programme priorities. ATV/r, SQV/r, FPV/r and IDV/r are all possibilities. In the absence of a cold chain, NFV can be employed as the PI component, but it is considered less potent than an RTV-boosted PI.

^b ZDV + 3TC are listed here for strategic use since resistance to both is predicted following failure of the listed first-line regimen. ZDV may prevent or delay the emergence of the K65R mutation; 3TC will maintain the M184V mutation, which may decrease viral replicative capacity as well as induce some degree of viral resensitization to ZDV. It must be stressed that the clinical efficacy of this strategy has not been proven.

- If first-line ART regimens containing PIs fail, the choice of a second-line regimen is mainly based on resistance profiles. If resistance profiles are not available, then resistance to the PIs contained in the first-line regimen must be assumed to be the cause of the regimen's failure (see section II.4.4 above).
- Possible options in the event a first-line regimen with a PI fails:
 - ZDV + 3TC + SQV/r (or ATV/r, FPV/r, IDV/r) → ABC + ddI + LPV/r
 - ZDV + 3TC + LPV/r → ABC + ddI + NNRTI (darunavir (TMC114) or boosted tipranavir (TPV/r)).
- One of the last options is a combination of double PIs, for example SQV + ATV/r (95). No double PIs with TPV/r are recommended.

4.6. Salvage regimens

(For recommended dosages, please refer to Annex 4.)

In case of confirmed second-line ARV treatment failure (using virological, immunological or clinical criteria), a salvage regimen should be considered. Salvage regimens are combinations of drugs that will probably work even against viruses that are partly drug resistant. Every regimen after second-line treatment is complicated and requires a high level of ART knowledge and skill on the part of the healthcare provider. Performing a resistance test in these circumstances is highly desirable. It is at times better to wait several months before initiating salvage treatment, although this strategy can be dangerous, particularly if the CD4 cell count is low.

- If possible two effective drugs should be added, for example the fusion inhibitor enfurvitide (ENF) (96), which is administered twice daily with subcutaneous application, and the new PI TPV (97, 98) or the new PI, TMC114 (99, 100).
- The genetic barrier of TPV seems to be even higher than that of LPV/r, and data show its efficacy to be comparable or better than the latter's (101). This PI is presently used only for salvage regimens.
- Another option is a combination of two PIs (102–104), except TPV, which is not to be combined with any other PIs. See section II.5.6 Table 13.

4.7. Structured treatment interruption

Most ART providers are opposed to planned interruptions, but there are conditions that may justify them. For example, constant CD4 count >500 cells/mm³ with completely suppressed virus for years may offer such an opportunity. Although it is not necessary to interrupt, it is better to do so than to face poor adherence followed by the development of resistant strains. During structured treatment interruption, the CD4 cell count normally falls rapidly to pre-ART levels; thus, it is imperative to monitor monthly counts during the first three months, then once every three months. Some patients continue to maintain satisfactory CD4 cell count (usually >350 cells/mm³) with a low VL (1000–5000 copies/ml) for months and years. The scientific investigation of this issue is continuing (105–109), and involves discussions particularly among the self-help groups and ART providers. However, due to the lack of definite evidence of the benefits of structured treatment interruptions, WHO does not recommend this approach.

5. Clinical monitoring of patients with HIV

Once a person has been diagnosed with HIV infection, a continuum of care and monitoring should be ensured.

5.1. Monitoring of laboratory indicators before ART

- CD4 cell count
 - Repeat every six months, unless there are unexpected results (rapid fall of CD4 cells count or diagnosis of opportunistic infection).
 - If starting ART is under discussion (CD4 count is 350 cells/mm³ or less), repeat CD4 count every three months. Statistically, every patient has a loss of 50 CD4 cells/mm³ per year, but they can also drop very quickly, especially with concomitant infection.
- Viral load
 - Although viral load testing is expensive, the costs of unmonitored ART are much higher (useless drugs, hospital admission in case of failure), as well as bringing a much higher risk for further transmission of HIV due to higher infectivity from an elevated viral load.
 - If possible, viral load should be controlled in the same interval as CD4 cell count. The result gives a hint about the intensity of HIV infection; low viral load (1000–5000 copies/ml) indicates slow progression, high viral load ($>100\ 000$ copies/ml) indicates a high risk for rapid progression.
- The general laboratory testing panel (see Table 3 above) should be repeated every six months if there are no changes with regard to initiation of ART or other circumstances (comorbidities, pregnancy, etc).

5.2. Monitoring of laboratory indicators in ART patients

Successful ART is first indicated by the viral load; immunological response is a result of viral load, and thus occurs later. ART monitoring is best done with viral load and CD4 count both.

- Viral load
 - VL should be measured after 4–8 weeks for assessment of whether the regimen is successful. Viral load usually falls below the assay's limits of detection within 16–24 weeks.
 - Subsequent monitoring of viral load should be done in intervals of three to four months.
 - Once viral load is below the testing threshold which is <50 copies/ml (or 60 or 70 copies/ml, depending on the available test), it should remain there.
- CD4 cell count should be repeated every six months, except in case of clinical failure.
- The general laboratory testing panel (see Table 3 above) should be repeated every six months if there are no changes in ART or other circumstances.
- Depending on specific ARVs used, the frequency for laboratory testing might differ. See table 10.

TABLE 10.	FREQUENCY OF LABORATORY TESTING, GENERALLY AND WITH SPECIFIC ARV USE							
	Baseline	Week 2	Week 4	Week 8	Week 16	Week 24	Week 36	Week 48
Viral load	X			X		X	X	X
CD4 count	X			X		X	(X)	X
Complete blood count	X		X	X	X (ZDV)	X	(X)	X
Liver Function Test (LFT)	X	X (NVP)	X	X (NVP, ZDV, PIs)	X (NVP, PIs)	X	(X)	X
Cholesterol triglycerides	X (PIs)				X (PIs)			X (PIs)
Renal function test	X	X (TDF)	X (TDF, IDV)			X	(X)	X

X: laboratory tests to be performed irrespective of the ARVs being administered; X (ARV): laboratory tests to be performed if an ARV in parentheses is being administered; (X): optional test.

5.3. Monitoring adherence

Every patient's adherence to ART should be measured and recorded during routine clinical visits. While there are tools for monitoring adherence (see Annex 5), the preferred method is a standardized questionnaire for 14 days or one month.

Viral load rebound should always prompt physicians to discuss adherence behaviour with their patients. The use of open questions that acknowledge customary low adherence is more likely to elicit full responses.

Optimizing adherence in the first four to six months of treatment is crucial to ensuring long-term immunovirological success (110). Several interventions are possible, but priority should be given to interventions aimed at improving adherence in the early months of ART (110–114).

Adherence support should be part of the routine clinical care provided by all health professionals dealing with HIV-infected patients. Staff should provide individualized adherence support to adherence based on the needs of each patient at any time during treatment. At every patient visit, health care providers have to make sure that every patient:

- has emotional and practical living support
- fits the drug regimen into a daily routine
- understands that non-adherence leads to resistance
- recognizes that all doses *must* be taken
- feels comfortable taking drugs in front of others
- keeps clinical appointments
- understands ARV interactions and side-effects
- knows alarm signals and when to see a doctor about them (115, 116).

Other strategies include:

- treating depression to enhance adherence and improve long-term outcomes (117);
- management of drug interactions and dosages;
- dispensing medication in small amounts at frequent intervals, which can facilitate:
 - opportunities to address adherence problems before they lead to resistance;
 - limiting treatment disruptions and misuse;
 - utilization of once-daily options and FDCs, which can lower the pill burden and be beneficial early in treatment; and
 - directly observed treatment (DOT), particularly in hospitals.

- providing psychosocial support;
- providing patient manuals and leaflets; and
- encouraging participation in patient support groups.

5.4. Management of ARV toxicity and side-effects

Side-effects are common with ARVs, especially PIs. See Table 11.

- LPV/r and NFV can cause severe diarrhoea.
- LPV/r is associated with hyperlipidaemia (especially high triglycerides).
- Problems with lipid metabolism can occur with nearly all PIs.
- The only PIs with no effect on the lipid profile seem to be ATV and ATV/r. In some countries, ATV is only approved for second-line treatment.
- Long-term studies of side-effects and increased risk for cardiovascular complications are needed.

TABLE 11. DOCUMENTED TOXICITY OF ARVs AND SUGGESTIONS FOR MANAGEMENT		
ARV	Toxicity	Management
<i>Hepatic necrosis (life-threatening)</i>		
NVP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fever, rash (50%), nausea, vomiting, eosinophilia, elevation of ALT/AST • Usually in first 6–18 weeks, rare after 48 weeks • 1–2% of all NVP treated individuals, higher if CD4 count >250 in females and >400 in males 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor LFT at weeks 2, 4, 8 and 16, and then every three months. • Treatment is symptomatic. • Hepatic necrosis is life threatening; in severe clinical situations, stop drugs at once.
<i>Lactic acidosis (life-threatening)</i>		
From highest to lowest risk: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • d4T with ddI • ddI • d4T • ZDV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nausea, vomiting, wasting, fatigue, pancreatitis, multiorgan failure, acquired respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) • 1–10 per 1000 patients/year for ddI and d4T 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor lactic acid only if suspected, look for early indicators (creatinase (CK), HCO₃). • The symptomatic treatment is bicarbonate against acidosis. • Change to ABC, TDF, 3TC, FTC.
<i>Hypersensitivity (life threatening in case of re-exposure: anaphylactic shock)</i>		
ABC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nearly always fever and rash, also fatigue and nausea • 5%, rare after six weeks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor skin, do not start together with other rash-producing drugs. • Stop ABC, do not use again if diagnosis is firmly suspected. • Change to ZDV, TDF or d4T.
<i>Stevens–Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis</i>		
NVP Less with EFV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fever, rash with blistering, myalgia • NVP: 1%, EFV: 0.1% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor skin. • Administer antibiotics and intensive care of wounds, perhaps in a burns centre.
<i>Pancreatitis</i>		
From highest to lowest risk: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • d4T with ddI • ddI • d4T 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pain, high levels of lipase • ddI 1–7%, less with dose adjustment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor lipase level. • The symptomatic treatment is pain medication, parenteral nutrition, drug stoppage. • Change to ZDV or TDF or ABC.

ARV	Toxicity	Management
<i>Nephrotoxicity</i>		
TDF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Renal failure and Fanconi syndrome More frequent in individuals with baseline renal dysfunction (118) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor creatinine, history of renal failure. Treatment is symptomatic. Eventually try again with dose adjustment of TDF (creatinine clearance is needed: TDF every second day). Change TDF to ZDV, ABC or d4T.
<i>Anaemia</i>		
ZDV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Anaemia and neutropenia, (slight decrease is normal with ZDV) 1–4%, dose dependent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor blood count after 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks. Macrocytosis with light anaemia (haemoglobin up to 10 g/dl or 100 g/litre) is common. Treatment is a transfusion of erythropoetin (very expensive) or changing ZDV to another NRTI (TDF, ABC or d4T).
<i>Peripheral neuropathy</i>		
d-drugs: ddI, d4T, ddC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pain/paraesthesia of extremities 10–30%, also after years 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor peripheral nerves, warn patient. Treatment is pain management, change of ART. Stop d-drug, change to another NRTI (ZDV, TDF, ABC).
<i>Fat atrophy</i>		
d4T and other NRTIs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduced buccal fat and extremity fat Common with long use (mitochondrial toxicity) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor and compare to previous pictures. Change d4T to TDF or ABC. If atrophy is irreversible, plastic surgery is indicated.
<i>Fat accumulation</i>		
PIs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased abdominal fat (“crixibelly”), breast size, buffalo hump 20–80% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measure and compare to previous pictures. Change to NNRTI if lipodystrophy/lipoatrophy is not tolerable. Plastic surgery may be indicated.
<i>Rash</i>		
NNRTI > APV/FPV > ABC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maculopapular itching 15% NNRTI, APV ~20%, ABC 5% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor fever, LFT, CK in close visits. Think of other allergenic drugs (sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim and other antibiotics, prophylaxis). Rashes some times resolve spontaneously with continued ART. Change NVP to EFV or vice versa. If no improvement, try a new regimen.
<i>Elevation of transaminase</i>		
NNRTIs (all) and PIs (all)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Otherwise unexplained elevation of LFT 8–15% with PI and NNRTI More frequent in patients with chronic HBV or HCV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor ALT every three months, look for other reasons (drugs, hepatitis). Elevation often resolves with continuation of NNRTI or PI. Discontinue NNRTI or PI.

ARV	Toxicity	Management
<i>Gastrointestinal intolerance</i>		
PIs (all), ZDV, ddI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nausea and vomiting, diarrhoea Common 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rule out other reasons (IRIS with CMV colitis, cryptosporidiosis, microsporidiosis, also weeks after initiating ART) Treatment is loperamide if there is no other reason for diarrhoea; metoclopramide, zofrane for nausea and vomiting.
<i>Central nervous system (CNS) toxicity</i>		
EFV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nightmares, impaired concentration, depression (risk of suicide) 50% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Warn patient, take psychiatric history, refer to psychiatric consultation Treatment usually not necessary, resolves in 5–21 days.
<i>Insulin resistance</i>		
PIs (all but ATV), esp. IDV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Elevated glucose tolerance, elevated glucose with morning fasting 5% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor fasting blood glucose. Treatment is via diet and exercise, metformin or glitazone. Change PI to NNRTI.
<i>Hyperlipidaemia</i>		
d4T > PIs (all but ATV)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased lipids, increased LDL, cholesterol, triglycerides (for the last, d4T is particularly prominent) % varies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor fasting lipid levels at initiation of ART and every six months. Treatment is per lipid, cholesterol and triglyceride guidelines. Use statins and fibrates. Be careful with interactions (no simvastatin, no lovastatin).
<i>Hyperbilirubaemia</i>		
ATV > IDV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Elevation of bilirubin (harmless; possible itching, no prolonged liver damage, reversible) Frequency varies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor bilirubin and clinical symptoms. Stop drug only if not tolerated. Change PI.
<i>Nephrolithiasis</i>		
IDV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abdominal pain, haematuria, renal colic 10–20% per year, less with >3 litre fluid/day 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor urinalysis, creatinine. Treatment is the same as for nephrolithiasis.

Source: Bartlett, 2006 (119).

5.5. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS)

IRIS happens after initiating ART, more often with CD4 counts <100 cells/mm³. If a dormant opportunistic infection is not diagnosed because of missing clinical symptoms, there may be an inflammatory reaction after initiating ART, due to an improved and activated immune system, leading to diagnosis of the OI (120, 121). The OI often presents differently than usual, for example, in abscesses with *Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare* (MAI) or curious chest X-rays with PCP. The incidence of IRIS is probably about 10%. MAI and CMV are the most common OIs, but worsening of a treated PCP may also occur (122). In principle, ART should be continued along with treatment of the OI. Low-dose prednisolone (20–60 mg/d) may help. ART should be discontinued if irregularly taken due to side-effects of OI treatment or if there is pain with oesophagitis (CMV, herpes, candida).

5.6. Drug interactions

Drug interaction is a severe problem in ART. PLWHA are forced to take a good deal of different agents due to concomitant diseases or manifestation of HIV and AIDS.

Though some drugs are genuinely contraindicated, most drugs that show interactions can still be given in combination; however, the probability of side-effects is then greater, and they should be closely monitored. The effectiveness of contraceptives could also be jeopardized. (See also Protocol 9, Support for sexual and reproductive health of people living with HIV/AIDS.) Tables 12 and 13 illustrate interactions of drugs with NNRTIs and with PIs.

TABLE 12.		NNRTI INTERACTION WITH SELECTED DRUGS		
NNRTI (drug A) ^a		With ... (drug B)	Effect	Significance ^b
EFV	NVP			
+		Ergotamine	↑ level of B	++(avoid)
	+	Antiarrhythmics: lidocaine, amiodarone, others	↑ and ↓ level of B	++(caution)
+	+	Anticonvulsants: carbamazepine, phenytoin, phenobarbital	↓ level of B and/or A; use gabapentin instead	++
(+) ^c	+	Itraconazole, ketoconazole	(-) ^c level of B	+
	+	Cyclosporine, tacrolimus, rapamycin	↑ level of B	++
++	+	Midazolam, alprazolam, triazolam	↑ level of B	++
	+	Calcium channel blockers	↑ level of B	++
+	+	Sildenafil, vardenafil, tadalafil	↑ level of B	++
	+	Fentanyl	↑ level of A	++
+	+	Methadone	↓ level of B	++
+	+	Contraceptives	↑ and ↓ level of B	++
+	+	Rifampin, rifabutin	↑ and ↓ level of B, ↓ level of A (caution)	++
+	+	St John's wort	↓ level of B	++
+	+	Warfarin	↑ level of B	++

^a + or ++ under drug A shows the drug strength in changing the level of drug B.

^b **Significance:** + probable importance; ++ definite clinical importance.

^c (+) or (-) indicates inconsistent results

Sources: Sande & Eliopoulos, 2004; Gilbert, Moellering & Eliopoulos, 2005; Antoniu & Tseng, 2002 (123–125).

Examples of how the tables should be read are as follows.

1. In Table 12 line 6: EFV strongly increases the levels of midazolam, alprazolam and triazolam while NVP does so less strongly. The significance of this is that there is a definite clinical importance; however, these drugs can still be coadministered.
2. In Table 13 line 4: APV, IDV LPV, NFV, RTV and SQV all increase the levels of carbamazepine, clonazepam, phenytoin and phenobarbital while these drugs in turn decrease the levels of the those PIs. The significance of this is that there is definite clinical importance. The combination of any of these should be avoided.

TABLE 13. PROTEASE INHIBITORS INTERACTIONS WITH SELECTED DRUGS									
Protease inhibitor (drug A) ^a							With ... (drug B)	Effect	Significance ^b
APV	ATV	IDV	LPV	NFV	RTV	SQV			
					+		Fentanyl, tramadol, hydrocodone	↑ level of drug B	+
			+		+		Codeine, morphine, methadone	↓ level of drug B	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Amiodaron, lidocaine, flecainide	↑ level of drug B	+
+		+	+	+	+	+	Carbamazepine, clonazepam, phenytoin, phenobarbital	↑ level of drug B ↓ level of drug A	++(avoid)
+	+	+			+		Tricyclic antidepressants	↑ level of drug B	+
	+				+		All other antidepressants	↑ level of drug B	+
					+		Loratadine	↑ level of drug B	++
			+				Atovaquone	↓ level of drug B	+
+	+	+	++	+	+	++	Benzodiazepine	↑ level of drug B	++
					+		Beta blockers	↑ level of drug B	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Calcium channel blockers	↑ level of drug B	++
	+				+	+	Clarithromycin, erythromycin in renal impairment	↑ level of drug B	+(caution)
+		+		+	+	+	Clarithromycin, erythromycin	↑ level of drug B and drug A	+
	+		+	+	+		Contraceptives	↓ level of drug B	++
+			+		+	+	Corticosteroids	↑ level of drug B ↓ level of drug A	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Cyclosporine	↑ level of drug B	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ergot derivatives	↑ level of drug B	++(avoid)
+	++	+	+	+	+	+	Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs)	↓ level of drug A	+(caution) (++, ATV-avoid)
+	++	+	+	+	+	+	H ₂ antagonists	↓ level of A	++ (caution) (++, ATV)
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Lovastatin, simvastatin	↑ level of drug B	++(avoid)
	+						Irinotecan	↑ level of drug B	++(avoid)
+		+	+	+		+	Ketoconazole, itraconazole	↑ level of drug B ↑ level of drug A	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Pimozide	↑ level of drug B	++(avoid)
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Rifampin	↑ level of drug B ↓ level of drug A	++(avoid)
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Rifabutin	↑ level of drug B ↓ level of drug A	+(caution, dose adjustment)
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Sildenafil	Some ↑, some ↓ level of drug B	++
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	St John's wort	↓ level of drug A	++(avoid)
	+						Tenofovir	↓ level of drug A	++(add RTV)
		+	+		+		Theophylline	↓ level of drug B	+
+	+		+		+		Warfarin	↑ level of B	+

^a + or ++ under drug A shows the drug strength in changing the level of drug B.

^b **Significance:** + probable importance; ++ definite clinical importance.

Sources: Sande & Eliopoulos, 2004; Gilbert, Moellering & Eliopoulos, 2005; Antoniu & Tseng, 2002 (123–125).

III. Suggested minimum data to be collected at the clinical level

The suggested minimum data to be collected is important in the development of key indicators on access to treatment and its success. Such indicators assist managers in decision making on ways to strengthen and expand these services to all who need them.

The following data should be collected at each clinical facility on a regular basis (e.g. monthly, quarterly or semi-annually):

- number of HIV patients “seen for care” (seen at least once in the previous 12 months);
- number of HIV patients seen for care who are eligible for ART (CD4 <350 cells/mm³);
- number of HIV patients seen for care initiating HAART;
- number of HIV patients seen for care receiving HAART;
- number of HIV patients on HAART changing from first-line HAART to second-line HAART;
- number of HIV patients on HAART changing from second-line HAART to salvage HAART;
- number of HIV patients interrupting ART treatment, including the reason (e.g. death, toxicity/side effects, pregnancy, loss to follow-up, ARVs not available, etc);
- number of patients who died while on HAART, including cause of death (e.g. HIV/AIDS related mortality or non-HIV/AIDS related mortality such as accident, overdose or suicide);
- number of HIV patients who died within the first 12 months of initiating HAART;
- number of deaths among all HIV patients including cause of death (e.g. HIV/AIDS related mortality or non-HIV/AIDS related mortality such as accident, overdose or suicide).

Annex 2. Revised WHO clinical staging of HIV/AIDS for adults and adolescents

Revised WHO clinical staging of HIV/AIDS for adults and adolescents

(Interim European region version for people aged ≥ 15 years
with positive HIV antibody test or other laboratory evidence of HIV infection)

Acute HIV infection

- Asymptomatic
- Acute retroviral syndrome

Clinical Stage 1

- Asymptomatic
- Persistent generalized lymphadenopathy (PGL)

Clinical Stage 2

- Seborrhoeic dermatitis
- Angular cheilitis
- Recurrent oral ulcerations (two or more episodes in six months)
- Herpes zoster (extensive zoster across one dermatome)
- Recurrent respiratory tract infections (two or more episodes in any six-month period of sinusitis, otitis media, bronchitis, pharyngitis, tracheitis)
- Fungal nail infections
- Papular pruritic eruptions

Clinical Stage 3

- Oral hairy leukoplakia
- Unexplained chronic diarrhoea for longer than one month
- Recurrent oral candidiasis (two or more episodes in six months)
- Severe presumed bacterial infections (e.g. pneumonia, empyema, pyomyositis, bone or joint infection, meningitis, bacteraemia)
- Acute necrotizing ulcerative stomatitis, gingivitis or periodontitis

Clinical Stage 4^a

- Pulmonary tuberculosis
- Extrapulmonary tuberculosis (excluding lymphadenopathy)
- Unexplained weight loss (more than 10% within six months)
- HIV wasting syndrome
- *Pneumocystis* pneumonia
- Recurrent severe or radiological bacterial pneumonia (two or more episodes within one year)
- CMV retinitis (\pm colitis)
- Herpes simplex virus (HSV) (chronic or persistent for at least one month)
- Encephalopathy
- HIV-associated cardiomyopathy
- HIV-associated nephropathy
- Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML)
- Kaposi sarcoma and HIV-related malignancies
- Toxoplasmosis
- Disseminated fungal infection (e.g. candida, coccidiomycosis, histoplasmosis)
- Cryptosporidiosis
- Cryptococcal meningitis
- Non-tuberculous mycobacterial infection or disseminated mycobacteria other than tubercle bacilli (MOTT)

^a Possibly to be included in Stage 4 if supported by sufficient evidence: anal cancer and lymphoma (T-cell Hodgkin lymphoma).

Source: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2005 (126)

Annex 3. Resistance tests

Resistance testing needs a minimum of 500–1000 copies/ml; it is not possible with completely suppressed virus.

Genotypic resistance testing is based on the analysis of RNA mutations. The amplified genome is sequenced. Known mutations are encoded for changed susceptibility of the virus. It is an indirect proof of drug resistance. The resistant virus population has to be higher than 20% of the whole population.

Virtual phenotypic resistance testing uses computer-based algorithms of genotypic tests connected with large data banks for interpreting results.

Phenotypic resistance testing, like microbiological susceptibility testing, examines the ability of viruses to replicate in cell culture in the presence of different agents. It is compared to the same ability of wild-type virus. The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) is a marker of drug potency. The results of the test show different grades of susceptibility.

Which resistance test to use

All tests are presently very expensive. Genotypic tests cost €400, phenotypic tests cost €800 (2005). The time between taking the sample and achieving results can be weeks. Basic genotypic testing should show enough evidence for further planning of regimens. First- and second-line regimens do not require the more expensive phenotypic test. When there is a confused ART history, with a lot of already known mutations or an inexplicable treatment failure, a phenotypic test might be justified. For all tests, the individual has to continue taking the failing regimen; otherwise, wild-type virus will overgrow the resistant strains. There are no standardized recommendations for the use of either phenotypic or genotypic resistance testing.

Annex 4. Essential information about ARVs

TABLE 15. ESSENTIAL ARV DRUG INFORMATION						
ARV	Abbr.	Size	Dosage	Remarks	Major side-effects (cf. Table 11)	Resistance profile (major and minor)
<i>NRTIs</i>						
Abacavir	ABC	300 mg	300 mg tablet BID or 600 mg OD	No re-exposure if history of hypersensitivity reaction	Hypersensitivity reaction (fever, rash, and influenza-like symptoms such as GI and pulmonary symptoms)	65R, 74V, 115E, 184V/I
Didanosine	ddI	250 mg 400 mg	Patients ≥60 kg: 400 mg tablet OD, Patients <60 kg: 250 mg tablet OD	Two hours after meal, dose reduction with TDF; not in combination with ribavirin	Peripheral polyneuropathy, pancreatitis, lactic acidosis	65R, 74V
Emtricitabine	FTC	200 mg	200 mg capsule OD		Same as 3TC	65R, 184V/I
Lamivudine	3TC	300 mg 150 mg	300 mg tablet OD or 150 mg tablet BID		Rare diarrhoea	65R, 184V/I
Stavudine	d4T	30 mg 40 mg	Patients ≥60 kg: 40 mg capsule BID <60 kg: 30 mg capsule BID	Not with ZDV	Peripheral neuropathy, lipodystrophy, elevation of ALT/AST	41L, 67N, 70R, 75T/M/S/A, 210W, 215Y/E, 219Q/E
Tenofovir	TDF	300 mg	300 mg tablet OD	Dose reduction of ddl, not in combination with d4T; careful with renal insufficiency (dose reduction)	Renal insufficiency	41L, 65R, 210W
Zidovudine	ZDV	300 mg	300 mg tablet BID	Not with d4T; better susceptibility when 65R and 184V	Anaemia, GI, headache	41L, 67N, 70R, 210W, 215Y/E, 219Q/E
ABC + 3TC	KVX	600 mg ABC, 300 mg 3TC	1 tablet OD			
TDF + FTC	TVD	300 mg TDF, 200 mg FTC	1 tablet OD			
ZDV + 3TC	CBV	300 mg ZDV, 150 mg 3TC	1 tablet BID	Higher (historical) dose of ZDV (higher risk of side-effects)		
ZDV + 3TC + ABC	TZV	300 mg ZDV, 150 mg 3TC, 300 mg ABC	1 tablet BID	Not once daily		
<i>NNRTIs</i>						
Efavirenz	EFV	600 mg	600 mg tablet OD	Start in the evening	Dizziness, sleeping disorders, psychiatric disorders (depression, risk of suicide)	100I, 101E, 103N, 106A/M, 108I, 181C, 188L, 190A/S, 225H, 230L

ARV	Abbr.	Size	Dosage	Remarks	Major side-effects (cf. Table 11)	Resistance profile (major and minor)
Nevirapine	NVP	200 mg	200 mg tablet BID	First 14 days 200 mg OD, then 200 mg BID	Rash, liver enzyme elevation	100I, 101E, 103N, 106A/M, 108I, 179D/E, 181C/L, 188C/H, 190A/S, 230L
Delavirdine	DLV	200 mg 100 mg	200 mg x 2 tablets TID or 100 mg x 4 tablets TID	Not used in Europe	Rash, GI symptoms, diarrhoea	K103N/S, Y181C/I, P236L, G190A/S/E/Q/C, Y188L/H/C, V106A/M, K101E/P, M230L, K238T/N, F318L, V179D/E
<i>PIs</i>						
Atazanavir	ATV	300 mg	300 mg OD plus 100 mg RTV OD	Dosage for treatment experienced patients. Use with RTV.	Bilirubin elevation (harmless)	24I, 33F/I/V, 36I/L/V, 46I/L, 50L, 54V/L/M/T, 82A/F/T/S, 84V, 88S, 90M
Fosamprenavir	FPV	700 mg	700 mg tablet BID plus 100 mg capsule RTV BID	Dosage for treatment experienced patients Use with RTV	Rash, headache, diarrhoea, dyslipidaemia	32I, 47V, 50V, 54L/M, 82A/F/T/S, 84V
Indinavir	IDV	400 mg	400 mg capsules BID plus 100 mg capsule RTV BID	Use with RTV	Kidney stones, dyslipidaemia	24I, 32I, 36I, 46I/L, 54V, 82A/F/T/S, 84V, 90M
Lopinavir/ritonavir fixed combination	LPV/r	133 mg/33 mg 200 mg/50 mg	(133 mg/33 mg) x 3 capsules BID or (200 mg/50 mg) x 2 tablets BID	Old formulation required refrigeration; new formulation does not; once daily under discussion	Diarrhoea, meteorism, dyslipidaemia	10I/R/V, 20M/R, 24I, 32I, 33I/F/V, 46I/L, 53L, 54V/L, 63P, 71V, 82A/F/T, 84V, 90M
Nelfinavir	NFV	250 mg 625 mg	625 mg x 2 tablets BID or 250 mg x 5 tablets BID	With meal, resorption increases 270%; no booster with RTV	Diarrhoea, meteorism	30N, 36I, 46I/L, 54V/L/M/T, 82A/F/T/S, 84V, 88D/S, 90M
Ritonavir	RTV	100 mg	Only as a booster		Dyslipidaemia, liver enzyme elevation, diarrhoea	48V, 53L, 54V/L, 82A/F/T, 84V, 90M
Saquinavir	SQV	500 mg	500 mg x 2 capsules BID plus 100 mg capsule RTV BID	New 500 mg tablets; was in 200 mg tablets until 2004. Use with RTV.	Diarrhoea and other GI symptoms, dyslipidaemia	
Tipranavir	TPV	250 mg	250 mg x 2 capsules BID plus 100 mg x 2 capsules RTV BID	Dosage for treatment experienced patients. Do not combine with other PIs. Use with RTV.	Dyslipidaemia (severe), liver enzyme elevation, diarrhoea	13L/V, 20M/R/V, 33F/I, 35D/N, 36I, 45R, 46I/L, 47V, 54A/M/T/V, 58E, 66F, 69K, 71I/K, 74P, 82F/L/T, 84C/V, 90M, 91S
<i>Fusion Inhibitors</i>						
Enfuvirtide	ENF	90 mg	90 mg/ml subcutaneous injection BID	No oral formulation	Skin reaction (itching, swelling, pain)	gp41 single point mutation or gp 41 double and triple point mutations between positions 36 and 45; gp 41 mutation outside of position 36-45

Sources: adapted from Sande & Eliopoulos, 2004; Gilbert, Moellering & Eliopoulos, 2005; Antoniu & Tseng, 2002, IAPAC, 2006 (123-125, 127).

Annex 5. Tools for adherence monitoring

Self-reporting is a good adherence marker, but it is not perfect. It seems to overestimate ART adherence more than other methods (128). To be effective, the patient must be willing to disclose problems, particularly face to face. This method may be important in reinforcing the central role of patients in managing their own adherence, as opposed to provider-controlled methods.

Provider estimates of adherence have been demonstrated to be poor (129) and are not advisable.

Drug-level monitoring is expensive and not yet possible for all ARVs. It is not a method for routine control of adherence, and can only reveal a snapshot of the time the sample is taken (130). In case of low plasma drug levels, adherence has to be discussed. Laboratory markers like mean corpuscular volume of erythrocytes might show adherence to ZDV and to a lesser extent d4T.

Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) is frequently used in research settings. An electronic device fitted to pill boxes records the removal of the cap. It is associated with predictable virological response to ART (131). It is not possible with blister packs.

Pill counts and pharmacy records may be seen as an unwelcome attempt of health care providers to police adherence. They are time-consuming and require patients to bring their medication with them.

Pill identification test (PIT) is a novel method that correlates with validated self-reporting measures (132). Patients are invited to distinguish the pills of their regimen from a display of ARVs, including two “twin pills”, which are similar but not identical to their own.

The use of **surrogate markers** is reliable but too late when poor adherence is revealed. Individuals with virological failure on a PI-containing regimen had low PI blood levels, low adherence levels by pill count and an absence of genotypic resistance to PIs, suggesting their treatment failure had been caused by low adherence (133, 134). Providers have to be careful with interpretation of these markers, however, because of other possible reasons for low drug levels (131).

Annex 6. List of antiretroviral drugs⁶

TABLE 16		
ANTIRETROVIRAL DRUG LIST		
International Non-proprietary Name (INN)	Proprietary Name	Pharmaceutical Company
<i>NRTIs</i>		
Abacavir (ABC)	Epzicom <i>US, Kivexa United Kingdom</i> (lamivudine/abacavir) Trizivir <i>Europe, United Kingdom, US</i> (zidovudine/lamivudine/abacavir) Ziagen <i>United Kingdom, United States</i>	GlaxoSmithKline
	Abavir	Genixpharma
	Virol Virol LZ (abacavir/lamivudine/zidovudine)	Ranbaxy
Didanosine (ddI)	Videx, Videx EC	Bristol-Myers Squibb
	Dinex EC Odivir Kit (didanosine/lamivudine/efavirenz)	Cipla
	Aviro-Z Virosine Viro-Z	Ranbaxy (India)
	Divir	Thai Government
Emtricitabine (FTC)	ATRIPLA (efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir)	Bristol-Myers Squibb and Gilead Sciences
	Emtriva Truvada (tenofovir/emtricitabine)	Gilead Sciences
Lamivudine (3TC)	Combivir <i>United Kingdom, United States</i> (lamivudine/zidovudine) Epivir <i>United Kingdom, United States, Zeffix United Kingdom</i> Epzicom <i>United States, Kivexa United Kingdom</i> (lamivudine/abacavir) Trizivir <i>United Kingdom, United States</i> (zidovudine/lamivudine/abacavir)	GlaxoSmithKline
	Lamivox Stavex-L (lamivudine/stavudine) Stavex-LN (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine) Zidovex-L (lamivudine/zidovudine) Zidovex-LN (lamivudine/nevirapine/zidovudine)	Aurobindo
	Duovir (lamivudine/zidovudine) Duovir-N (lamivudine/nevirapine/zidovudine) Lamivir Odivir Kit (didanosine/lamivudine/efavirenz) Triomune (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine)	Cipla
	Heptavir Lamistar 30, Lamistar 40 (lamivudine/stavudine) Nevilast (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine) Zidolam (lamivudine/zidovudine)	Genixpharma
	Virolam Virocomb (lamivudine/zidovudine) Virolans (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine) Virolis (lamivudine/stavudine) Virol LZ, Abac-ALZ (abacavir/lamivudine/zidovudine)	Ranbaxy

⁶ This list is a compilation of those ARVs that are widely used, and should not be construed to be exhaustive. It was accurate as of 31 July 2006. **Disclaimer:** The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

International Non-pro-Proprietary Name (INN)	Proprietary Name	Pharmaceutical Company
Stavudine (d4T)	Zerit, Zerit XR	<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb</i>
	Stavex Stavex-L (lamivudine/stavudine) Stavex-LN (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine)	<i>Aurobindo</i>
	Stavir Lamivir-S (lamivudine/stavudine) Triomune (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine)	<i>Cipla</i>
	Lamistar (lamivudine/stavudine) Nevilast (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine) Stag	<i>Genixpharma</i>
	Stavir	<i>GPO (Thailand)</i>
	Avostav Triviro-LNS (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine) Virolans (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine) Virolis, Coviro (lamivudine/stavudine) Virostav	<i>Ranbaxy</i>
Tenofovir (TDF)	Truvada (tenofovir/emtricitabine) Viread (tenofovir)	<i>Gilead Sciences</i>
	ATRIPLA (efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir)	<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb</i>
Triple nucleoside (TRZ)	Trizivir <i>United Kingdom, United States</i> (zidovudine/lamivudine/abacavir)	<i>GlaxoSmithKline</i>
Zidovudine (ZDV or AZT)	Combivir <i>United Kingdom, United States</i> (lamivudine/zidovudine) Retrovir <i>United Kingdom, United States</i> Trizivir <i>United Kingdom, United States</i> (zidovudine/lamivudine/abacavir)	<i>GlaxoSmithKline</i>
	Zidovex	<i>Auribindo</i>
	Zidovir Duovir (lamivudine/zidovudine)	<i>Cipla</i>
	Zido-H (zidovudine)	<i>Genixpharma</i>
	Antivir	<i>GPO (Thailand)</i>
	Aviro-Z Virocomb (lamivudine/zidovudine) Virol LZ (abacavir/lamivudine/zidovudine) Viro-Z	<i>Ranbaxy</i>
<i>NNRTIs</i>		
Delavirdine (DLV)	Rescriptor	<i>Pfizer, Inc.</i>
Efavirenz (EFV)	Sustiva <i>Europe, United Kingdom, Stocrin Australia, Europe, Latin America, South Africa</i> ATRIPLA (efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir)	<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb</i>
	Viranz	<i>Aurobindo</i>
	Efavir	<i>Cipla</i>
	Estiva	<i>Genixpharma</i>
	Effervan	<i>Ranbaxy</i>
Nevirapine (NVP)	Viramune	<i>Boehringer Ingelheim</i>
	Nevirex Stavex LN (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine)	<i>Aurobindo</i>
	Duovir-N (lamivudine/nevirapine/zidovudine) Nevimune Triomune (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine)	<i>Cipla</i>
	Nevilast (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine)	<i>Genixpharma</i>
	GPOVir	<i>GPO (Thailand)</i>

International Non-pro-Proprietary Name (INN)	Proprietary Name	Pharmaceutical Company
	Nevipan Triviro LNS (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine) Virolans (lamivudine/nevirapine/stavudine) Zidovex-LN (lamivudine/nevirapine/zidovudine)	Ranbaxy
Fusion Inhibitors		
Enfuvirtide, T-20	Fuzeon <i>United Kingdom, United States</i>	Roche Pharmaceuticals & Trimeris, Inc.
Protease Inhibitors		
Amprenavir (APV)	Agenerase <i>United Kingdom, United States</i>	GlaxoSmithKline
Atazanavir (ATV)	Reyataz <i>Europe, US, Zvezada</i>	Bristol-Myers Squibb
Fosamprenavir (FPV)	Lexiva <i>US, Telzir United Kingdom</i>	GlaxoSmithKline and Vertex
Indinavir (IDV)	Crixivan	Merck & Co.
	Indivex	Aurobinda
	Indivir	Cipla
	Indivir	Genixpharma
	Virodin	Ranbaxy
Lopinavir/ritonavir combination (LPV/r)	Kaletra	Abbott Laboratories
Nelfinavir (NFV)	Viracept	Pfizer, Inc., Roche Pharmaceuticals
	Nelvex	Aurobinda
	Nelvir	Cipla
	Nelfin	Genixpharma
	Nefavir	Ranbaxy
Ritonavir (RTV)	Norvir	Abbott Laboratories
	Ritovir	Hetero/Genix
Saquinavir (SQV)	Fortovase <i>Europe, United Kingdom, United States</i> Invirase <i>United Kingdom, United States</i>	Roche Pharmaceuticals

Annex 7. Glossary

Adherence. High adherence is defined as taking over 95% of doses; low adherence is anything under this level.

Backbone is the basic part of ARV treatment, usually consisting of two NRTIs which are the backbone for a PI or for a PI and fusion inhibitor. “Optimized backbone” means an adjusted combination of probable working NRTIs based on results of resistance testing.

Compliance is a former term for adherence.

Genetic barrier is a description of the number of mutations needed for the virus to be resistant to a drug. Resistance with 1 mutation means a low genetic barrier; resistance with 10 mutations means a very high genetic barrier, though this characterization is subject to change.

Major mutations are the changes in viral RNA that encode for resistance to particular ART drugs or ART classes.

Minor mutations work in combination and can lead to resistance or counteract disadvantages of other major or minor mutations.

Nucleoside analogue mutations (NAMs) reveal cross-resistance for most NRTIs.

A **point mutation** is one change in the RNA code resulting in resistance to a drug or class of drugs. For example, in ART treatment mutation 103 means a resistance to all NNRTIs.

Resistance is the result of changing amino acids in the RNA strain of the virus. This happens due to the poor replication abilities of HIV. Most changes lead to the death of the virus; other changes are viable, and the resultant virus has the ability to survive the mechanisms of ART. In most cases, resistance leads to poorer viral fitness, meaning a slower HIV replication rate. Though a benefit for the patient at the beginning, it will result in total resistance and high replication rates of the less fit viruses). However, several combinations of resistance patterns can balance this disadvantage, so that some resistance patterns result in a fitter virus in the end).

Thymidine analogue mutations (TAMs) are usually a result of ZDV treatment.

Annex 8. Beyond the horizon

Research on ART continues. New viral mutations and drug resistance occur regularly – as do new understandings of the interactions between drugs and the virus. The following are some of the latest ARVs to be approved or to be pending approval, along with new combinations of older drugs.

- A once-daily fixed-dose combination of TDF + FTC + EFV has been recently developed and appears to be slightly more effective than the standard ZDV + 3TC + EFV combination (40).
- TMC125 (etravirin) is a new NNRTI that has potencies despite existing mutations which encode for NNRTI class resistance (135).
- TMC114 (darunavir) is a new PI with an even higher genetic barrier than LPV/r. Development of resistance is slower than with NFV, APV or LPV/r in vitro. TMC114 is available through an expanded access programme (EAP) (136). It has been recently approved by the FDA.
- AG1549 (capravirin) is also a second-generation NNRTI, which is effective despite classical NNRTI mutations.
- New coreceptor inhibitors in the fusions molecule are in Phase II studies. CXCR4- and CCR5-expressing viruses are being fought with drugs that can inhibit one or both of them. New tests for the coreceptor expression of the virus are needed for this treatment. Side-effects are limited for now, though initial experience with this new class has revealed cardiotoxic effects. Approval may occur in 2007.

References

1. Palella FJ Jr et al. Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. HIV Outpatient Study Investigators. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 1998, 338(13):853–860.
2. Sterne JA et al. Long-term effectiveness of potent antiretroviral therapy in preventing AIDS and death: a prospective cohort study. *The Lancet*, 2005, 366(9483):378–384.
3. Lewden C. Responders to antiretroviral treatment over 500 CD4/mm³ reach same mortality rates as general population: APRICO and Aquitaine Cohorts. *10th European Aids Conference, Dublin, 17–20 November, 2005* (Abstract PE18.4/8).
4. Bartlett JG, Gallant JE. 2003 Medical Management of HIV Infection. Johns Hopkins University, Division of Infectious Disease and AIDS Service. Baltimore, 2003 (<http://www.hopkins-aids.edu/publications/book/03MMHIV1to3.pdf> accessed 11 September 2006).
5. Wilson IB et al. Quality of HIV care provided by nurse practitioners, physician assistants and physicians. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 2005, 143(10):729–736.
6. Aberg JA et al. Primary care guidelines for the management of persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus: recommendations of the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2004, 39:609–629.
7. Mellors JW et al. Plasma viral load and CD4+ lymphocytes as prognostic markers of HIV-1 infection. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 1997, 126(12):946–954.
8. Savès M et al. Risk factors for coronary heart disease in patients treated for human immunodeficiency virus infection compared with the general population. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2003, 37(2):292–298.
9. Friis-Moller N et al. Combination antiretroviral therapy and the risk of myocardial infarction. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 2003, 349(21):1993–2003.
10. Pragna Patel. Incidence of AIDS defining and non-AIDS defining malignancies among HIV-infected persons. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (13th CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Poster 813).
11. *HIV testing methods*. Geneva, Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), 1997 (UNAIDS Technical Update WC 503.1).
12. Mulcahy F et al. CD4 counts in pregnancy do not accurately reflect the need for long-term HAART. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 704b).
13. Hawkins D et al. Guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women and the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV. *HIV Medicine*, 2005, 6:107–148.
14. Friis-Moller N et al. Exposure to PI and NNRTI and risk of myocardial infarction: results from the D:A:D study. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 144).
15. Markowitz M et al. Infection with multidrug resistant, dual-tropic HIV-1 and rapid progression to AIDS: a case report. *The Lancet*, 2005, 365(9464):1031–1038.
16. Urbina A, Jones K. Crystal methamphetamine, its analogues, and HIV infection: medical and psychiatric aspects of a new epidemic. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2004, 38(6):890–894.
17. Gregory M et al. Illicit drug use and HIV-1 disease progression: a longitudinal study in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 2006, 163(5):412–420.
18. Markowitz M et al. Infection with multidrug resistant, dual-tropic HIV-1 and rapid progression to AIDS: a case report. *The Lancet*, 2005, 365(9464):1031–1038.
19. Kassutto S et al. Longitudinal analysis of clinical markers following antiretroviral therapy initiated during acute or early HIV type 1 infection. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2006, 42:1024–1031.
20. The EACS Euroguidelines Group. European guidelines for the clinical management and treatment of HIV-infected adults in Europe. *AIDS*, 2003, 17(Suppl.):S3–S26.
21. *British HIV Association guidelines for the treatment of HIV-infected adults with antiretroviral therapy*. London, British HIV Association, 2003 (<http://www.bhiva.org/guidelines/2003/hiv/index.html>, accessed 30 May 2006).
22. *Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1 infected adults and adolescents*. Bethesda, United States Department of Health and Human Services (DHSS), 2004.

23. Salzberger B et al. German-Austrian recommendations for the antiretroviral therapy on HIV-infections. *European Journal of Medical Research*, 2004, 9:491–504
24. Egger M et al. Prognosis of HIV-1-infected patients starting highly active antiretroviral therapy: a collaborative analysis of prospective studies. *The Lancet*, 2002, 360(9327):119–129.
25. Phillips AN et al. Viral load outcome of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor regimens for 2203 mainly antiretroviral-experienced patients. *AIDS*, 2001, 15(18):2385–2395.
26. Sterling TR et al. Improved outcomes with earlier initiation of highly active antiretroviral therapy among human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients who achieve durable virologic suppression: longer follow-up of an observational cohort study. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 2003, 188(11):1659–1665.
27. Opravil M et al. Clinical efficacy of early initiation of HAART in patients with asymptomatic HIV infection and CD4 cell count $>350 \times 10^6 / l$. *AIDS*, 2002, 16(10):1371–1381.
28. Gras L et al. Predictors of changes in CD4 cell count seven years after starting HAART. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 530).
29. Palella FJ Jr et al. Survival benefit of initiating antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected persons in different CD4+ cell strata. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 2003, 138(8):620–626.
30. Keruly J et al. Increases in CD4 cell count to five years in persons with sustained virologic suppression. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 529).
31. Wensing AMJ, et al. Analysis from more than 1800 newly diagnosed patients with HIV from 17 European countries shows that 10% of the patients carry primary drug resistance: the CATCH study. *The 2nd IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment, International AIDS Society and ANRS, Paris, 13 July 2003* (Abstract LB1).
32. Ross L et al. Prevalence of antiretroviral drug resistance and resistance mutations in antiretroviral therapy (ART) naive HIV infected individuals from 40 US cities. *44th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC), Washington, 30 October–2 November 2004* (Abstract H-173).
33. De Mendoza C et al. Evidence for a different transmission efficiency of viruses with distinct drug-resistance genotypes. *12th International Drug Resistance Workshop, Los Cabos, Mexico, 10–13 June 2003* (Abstract 130).
34. Grant GM et al. Declining nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor primary resistance in San Francisco 2000–2002. *12th International Drug Resistance Workshop, Los Cabos, Mexico, 10–13 June 2003* (Abstract 120).
35. Babic DZ et al. Prevalence of antiretroviral drug resistance mutations and HIV-1 non-B subtypes in newly diagnosed drug-naive patients in Slovenia, 2000–2004. *Virus Research*, 2006, 118(1–2):156–163.
36. Cane P et al. Time trends in primary resistance to HIV drugs in the United Kingdom: multicentre observational study. *BMJ*, 2005, 331(7529):1368.
37. de Mendoza C et al. Antiretroviral recommendations may influence the rate of transmission of drug-resistant HIV type 1. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2005, 41(2):227–232.
38. Daar ES, Richman DD. Confronting the emergence of drug-resistant HIV type 1: impact of antiretroviral therapy on individual and population resistance. *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses*, 2005, 21(5):343–357.
39. McDoll et al. Emtricitabine and 3TC: interchangeable? A systemic review. *10th European AIDS Conference (EACS), Dublin, 17–20 November 2005* (Poster 7.3/17).
40. Gallant JE et al. Tenofovir DF, emtricitabine, and efavirenz vs. zidovudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz for HIV. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 2006, 354(3):251–260.
41. DeJesus E et al. Abacavir versus zidovudine combined with lamivudine and efavirenz, for the treatment of antiretroviral-naive HIV-infected adults. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2004, 39(7):1038–1046.
42. Barrios A et al. Paradoxical CD4+ T-cell decline in HIV-infected patients with complete virus suppression taking tenofovir and didanosine. *AIDS*, 2005, 19(6):569–575.
43. Saag MS et al. Efficacy and safety of emtricitabine vs stavudine in combination therapy in antiretroviral-naive patients: a randomized trial. *JAMA*, 2004, 292(2):180–189.
44. Bonnet F et al. Risk factors for hyperlactataemia in HIV-infected patients, Aquitaine Cohort, 1999–2003. *Antiviral Chemistry & Chemotherapy*, 2005, 16(1):63–67.

45. Mallon PW et al. A prospective evaluation of the effects of antiretroviral therapy on body composition in HIV-1-infected men starting therapy. *AIDS*, 2003, 17(7):971–979.
46. Shah SS, Rodriguez T, McGowan JP. Miller Fisher variant of Guillain-Barré syndrome associated with lactic acidosis and stavudine therapy. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2003, 36(10):131–133.
47. Bernasconi E et al. Abnormalities of body fat distribution in HIV-infected persons treated with anti-retroviral drugs: The Swiss HIV Cohort Study. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 1999, 31(1):50–55.
48. Gulick RM et al. Triple-nucleoside regimens versus efavirenz-containing regimens for the initial treatment of HIV-1 infection. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 2004, 350(18):1850–1861.
49. Staszewski S et al. Efavirenz plus zidovudine and lamivudine, efavirenz plus indinavir, and indinavir plus zidovudine and lamivudine in the treatment of HIV-1 infection in adults. Study 006 Team. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 1999, 341(25):1865–1873.
50. Bartlett JA et al. Abacavir/lamivudine in combination with efavirenz, amprenavir/ritonavir stavudine: ESS40001 (CLASS) preliminary 48 weeks results. *14th International AIDS Conference, Barcelona, July 2002* (Abstract TuOrB1189).
51. van Leeuwen R et al. A randomized trial to study first-line combination therapy with or without a protease inhibitor in HIV-1-infected patients. *AIDS*, 2003, 17(7):987–999.
52. Calza L et al. Substitution of nevirapine or efavirenz for protease inhibitor versus lipid-lowering therapy for the management of dyslipidaemia. *AIDS*, 2005, 19(10):1051–1058.
53. Sheran M. The nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors efavirenz and nevirapine in the treatment of HIV. *HIV Clinical Trials*, 2005, 6(3):158–168.
54. Palella FJ, Delaney KM, Moorman AC. Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 1998, 338:853–860.
55. Perelson AS et al. HIV-1 dynamics in vivo: virion clearance rate, infected cell life-span, and viral generation time. *Science*, 1996, 271(5255):1582–1586.
56. Mannheimer S et al. The consistency of adherence to antiretroviral therapy predicts biologic outcomes for human immunodeficiency virus-infected persons in clinical trials. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2002, 34(8):1115–1121.
57. Fischl M et al. Impact of directly observed therapy on long-term outcomes in HIV clinical trials. *8th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Chicago, 4–8 February 2001* (Abstract 528).
58. Bangsberg DR et al. Adherence-resistance relationships for protease and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors explained by virological fitness. *AIDS*, 2006, 20(2):223–231.
59. Maher K et al. Disease progression, adherence and response to protease inhibitor therapy for HIV infection in an Urban Veterans Affairs Medical Center. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 1999, 22(4):358–363.
60. Vanhove GF et al. Patient compliance and drug failure in protease inhibitor monotherapy. *JAMA*, 1996, 276(24):1955–1956.
61. Little SJ et al. Antiretroviral-drug resistance among patients recently infected with HIV. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 2002, 347(6):385–394.
62. UK Collaborative Group on Monitoring the Transmission of HIV. Drug resistance. Analysis of prevalence of HIV-1 drug resistance in primary infections in the United Kingdom. *BMJ*, 2001, 322(7294):1087–1088.
63. Bangsberg DR, Perry S, Charlesbois ED. Adherence to HAART predicts progression to AIDS. *8th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Chicago, 4–8 February 2001* (Abstract 483).
64. Lerner BH, Gulick RM, Dubler NN. Rethinking nonadherence: historical perspectives on triple-drug therapy for HIV disease. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 1998, 129(7):573–578.
65. Carrieri P et al. The dynamic of adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy: results from the French National APROCO cohort. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2001, 28(3):232–239.
66. Walsh JC et al. Reasons for non-adherence to antiretroviral therapy: patients' perspectives provide evidence of multiple causes. *AIDS Care*, 2001, 13(6):709–720.
67. Tuldra A et al. Prospective randomized two-arm controlled study to determine the efficacy of a specific intervention to improve long-term adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2000, 25(3):221–228.

68. Bamberger JD et al. Helping the urban poor stay with antiretroviral HIV drug therapy. *American Journal of Public Health*, 2000, 90(5):699–701.
69. Walsh JC et al. An assessment of current HIV treatment adherence services in the UK. *AIDS Care*, 2002, 14(3):329–334.
70. Cingolani A et al. Usefulness of monitoring HIV drug resistance and adherence in individuals failing highly active antiretroviral therapy: a randomized study (ARGENTA). *AIDS*, 2002, 16(3):369–379.
71. Mannheimer S et al. The consistency of adherence to antiretroviral therapy predicts biologic outcomes for human immunodeficiency virus-infected persons in clinical trials. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2002, 34(8):1115–1121.
72. Chesney MA. Factors affecting adherence to antiretroviral therapy. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2000, Suppl 2:S171–176.
73. Altice FL, Mostashari F, Friedland GH. Trust and the acceptance of and adherence to antiretroviral therapy. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2001, 28(1):47–58.
74. Claxton AJ, Cramer J, Pierce C. A systematic review of the associations between dose regimens and medication compliance. *Clinical Therapeutics*, 2001, 23(8):1296–1310.
75. Bartlett JA et al. Overview of the effectiveness of triple combination therapy in antiretroviral-naïve HIV-1-infected adults. *AIDS*, 2001, 15(11):1369–1377.
76. Paterson DL et al. Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 2000, 133(1):21–30.
77. Fumaz CR et al. Quality of life, emotional status, and adherence of HIV-1-infected patients treated with efavirenz versus protease inhibitor-containing regimens. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2002, 29(3):244–253.
78. Bartlett JA. Addressing the challenges of adherence [review]. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2002, 29 Suppl. 1:S2–S10.
79. Moore AL et al. Raised viral load in patients with viral suppression on highly active antiretroviral therapy: transient increase or treatment failure? *AIDS*, 2002, 16(4):615–618.
80. Nettles RE et al. Intermittent HIV-1 viremia (Blips) and drug resistance in patients receiving HAART. *JAMA*, 2005, 293(7):817–829.
81. Le Moing V et al. Predictors of long-term increase in CD4(+) cell counts in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients receiving a protease inhibitor-containing antiretroviral regimen. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 2002, 185(4):471–480.
82. Smith CJ et al. Factors influencing increases in CD4 cell counts of HIV-positive persons receiving long-term highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 2004, 190(10):1860–1868.
83. Barrios A et al. Paradoxical CD4+ T-cell decline in HIV-infected patients with complete virus suppression taking tenofovir and didanosine. *AIDS*, 2005, 19(6):569–575.
84. Gallant JE et al. Efficacy and safety of tenofovir DF vs stavudine in combination therapy in antiretroviral-naïve patients: a 3-year randomized trial. *JAMA*, 2004, 292(2):191–201.
85. Miller MD et al. Decreased replication capacity of HIV-1 clinical isolates containing K65R or M184V RT mutations. *10th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Boston, 10–14 February 2003* (Abstract 616).
86. Parikh U et al. K65R: a multinucleoside resistance mutation of increasing prevalence exhibits bi-directional phenotypic antagonism with TAM. *11th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), San Francisco, 8–11 February 2004* (Abstract 54).
87. Condra JH et al. Drug resistance and predicted virologic responses to human immunodeficiency virus type 1 protease inhibitor therapy. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 2000, 182(3):758–765.
88. Kempf DJ et al. Analysis of the virological response with respect to baseline viral phenotype and genotype in protease inhibitor-experienced HIV-1-infected patients receiving lopinavir/ritonavir therapy. *Antiviral Therapy*, 2002, 7(3):165–174.
89. Martinez-Picado J et al. Replicative fitness of protease inhibitor-resistant mutants of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *Journal of Virology*, 1999, 73(5):3744–3752.
90. Albrecht MA et al. Nelfinavir, efavirenz, or both after the failure of nucleoside treatment of HIV infection. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 2001, 345(6):398–407.
91. Kessler H et al. CD4 cell increases through more than 4 years in antiretroviral-naïve HIV+ patients treated with lopinavir/ritonavir-based therapy. *The 2nd IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment, International AIDS Society and ANRS, Paris, 13 July 2003* (Abstract 568).

92. Abbott's new Kaletra tablet gets EMEA CHMP's OK. *Therapeutics Daily*, 8 May 2006 (<http://www.therapeuticsdaily.com/News/article.cfm?contenttype=sentryarticle&contentvalue=884529&channelID=31>, accessed May 9, 2006).
93. Johnson M et al. 96-week comparison of once-daily atazanavir/ritonavir and twice-daily lopinavir/ritonavir in patients with multiple virologic failures. *AIDS*, 2006, 20(5):711–718.
94. Youle M et al. The final week 48 analysis of a phase IV randomised open label multicentre trial to evaluate safety and efficacy of lopinavir/ritonavir vs saquinavir/ritonavir in adult HIV-1 infection: the MaxC-Min2 study. *The 2nd IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment, International AIDS Society and ANRS, Paris, 13 July 2003* (Abstract LB23).
95. Rottmann C et al: Atazanavir ritonavir saquinavir without any other antiretroviral drugs in protease inhibitor experienced patients with no reverse transcriptase options: a 24 week cohort analysis. *7th International Congress on Drug Therapy in HIV Infection, Glasgow, 14–18 November 2004* (Abstract P21).
96. Lazzarin A et al. Efficacy of enfuvirtide in patients infected with drug-resistant HIV-1 in Europe and Australia. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 2003, 348(22):2186–2195.
97. Gonzalez-Lahoz J. The RESIST trials – superiority of tipranavir over other PIs. *AIDS Reviews*, 2004, 6(4):244–245.
98. Croom KF, Keam SJ. Tipranavir: a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor. *Drugs*, 2005, 65(12):1669–1679.
99. Arasteh K et al. TMC114/ritonavir substitution for protease inhibitor(s) in a non-suppressive antiretroviral regimen: a 14-day proof-of-principle trial. *AIDS*, 2005, 19(9):943–947.
100. Kovalevsky AY et al. Effectiveness of nonpeptide clinical inhibitor TMC-114 on HIV-1 protease with highly drug resistant mutations D30N, I50V, and L90M. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 2006, 49(4):1379–1387.
101. Turner D et al. The influence of protease inhibitor resistance profiles on selection of HIV therapy in treatment-naive patients. *Antiviral Therapy*, 2004, 9(3):301–314.
102. Stephan C et al. Saquinavir drug exposure is not impaired by the boosted double protease inhibitor combination of lopinavir/ritonavir. *AIDS*, 2004, 18(3):503–508.
103. Eron JJ et al. A phase II trial of dual protease inhibitor therapy: amprenavir in combination with indinavir, nelfinavir, or saquinavir. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2001, 26(5):458–461.
104. Boffito M et al. Atazanavir enhances saquinavir hard-gel concentrations in a ritonavir-boosted once-daily regimen. *AIDS*, 2004, 18(9):1291–1297.
105. Ananworanich J et al. CD4-guided scheduled treatments interruptions compared to continuous therapy: results of the Staccato trial. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 102).
106. Skiest D et al. Predictors of HIV disease progression in patients who stop ART with CD4 cell counts >350 cells/mm³. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 101).
107. Marchou B et al. Structured treatment interruptions in HIV-infected patients with high CD4 cell counts and virologic suppression: results of a prospective, randomized, open-label trial (Window - ANRS 106). *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 104).
108. Danel C et al. CD4-guided strategy arm stopped in a randomized structured treatment interruption trial in West African adults: ANRS 1269 Trivacan trial. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 105LB).
109. El-Sadr W et al. Episodic CD4-guided use of art is inferior to continuous therapy: results of the SMART study. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 106LB).
110. Carrieri MP et al. Impact of early versus late adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy on immuno-virological response: a 3-year follow-up study. *Antiviral Therapy*, 2003, 8(6):585–594.
111. Safren SA et al. Two strategies to increase adherence to HIV antiretroviral medication: life-steps and medication monitoring. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 2001, (10):1151–1162.
112. Simoni JM et al. Antiretroviral adherence interventions: a review of current literature and ongoing studies. *Topics in HIV Medicine*, 2003, 11(6):185–198.
113. Golin CE, Smith SR, Reif S. Adherence counseling practices of generalist and specialist physicians caring for people living with HIV/AIDS in North Carolina. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 2004, 19(1):16–27.

114. Weber R et al. Effect of individual cognitive behaviour intervention on adherence to antiretroviral therapy: prospective randomized trial. *Antiviral Therapy*, 2004, 9(1):85–95.
115. Kerr T et al. Psychosocial determinants of adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy among injection drug users in Vancouver. *Antiviral Therapy*, 2004, 9(3):407–414.
116. Tyndall MW et al. Attendance, drug use patterns, and referrals made from North America's first supervised injection facility. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 2005, December.
117. Yun LW et al. Antidepressant treatment improves adherence to antiretroviral therapy among depressed HIV-infected patients. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2005, 38(4):432–438.
118. Zimmermann AE et al. Tenofovir-associated acute and chronic kidney disease: a case of multiple drug interactions. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2006, 42(2):283–290.
119. Bartlett JG. Pocket guide to adult *HIV/AIDS treatment*. Baltimore, John Hopkins University AIDS Service, 2006 (<http://hopkins-aids.edu/publications/pocketguide/pocketgd0106.pdf>, accessed 11 September 2006).
120. Jacobson MA et al. Cytomegalovirus retinitis after initiation of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *The Lancet*, 1997, 349(9063):1443–1445.
121. Race EM et al. Focal mycobacterial lymphadenitis following initiation of protease-inhibitor therapy in patients with advanced HIV-1 disease. *The Lancet*, 1998, 351(9098):252–255.
122. Koval CE et al. Immune reconstitution syndrome after successful treatment of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia in a man with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2002, 35(4):491–493.
123. Sande MA, Eliopoulos GM. The Sanford guide to *HIV/AIDS therapy*, 13th ed. Hyde Park, VT, Antimicrobial Therapy, 2004.
124. Gilbert DN, Moellering RC, Eliopoulos GM. *The Sanford guide to antimicrobial therapy*, 35th ed. Hyde Park, VT, Antimicrobial Therapy, 2005.
125. Antoniu T, Tseng AL. Interactions between recreational drugs and antiretroviral agents. *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, 2002, 36(10):1598–1613.
126. WHO/EURO report of the technical consultation on clinical staging of HIV/AIDS and HIV/AIDS case definition for surveillance. Copenhagen, WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2005 (<http://www.euro.who.int/document/E87956.pdf>, accessed 5 April 2006).
127. 2006 antiretroviral drug guide. *IAPAC Monthly*, 2006, 12 Suppl. 1 (<http://www.iapac.org/home.asp?pid=7288>, accessed 11 September 2006).
128. Liu H et al. A comparison study of multiple measures of adherence to HIV protease inhibitors. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 2001, 134(10):968–977.
129. Bangsberg DR et al. Provider assessment of adherence to HIV antiretroviral therapy. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2001, 26(5):435–442.
130. Hugen PW et al. Assessment of adherence to HIV protease inhibitors: comparison and combination of various methods, including MEMS (electronic monitoring), patient and nurse report, and therapeutic drug monitoring. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2002, 30(3):324–334.
131. Paterson DL et al. Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 2000, 133(1):21–30.
132. Parienti JJ et al. The pills identification test: a tool to assess adherence to antiretroviral therapy. *JAMA*, 2001, 285(4):412.
133. Descamps D et al. Mechanisms of virologic failure in previously untreated HIV-infected patients from a trial of induction-maintenance therapy. *JAMA*, 2000, 283(2):205–11.
134. Havlir DV et al. Drug susceptibility in HIV infection after viral rebound in patients receiving indinavir-containing regimens. *JAMA*, 2000, 283(2):229–234.
135. Vingerhoets J et al. Effect of baseline resistance on the virologic response to a novel NNRTI, TMC 125, in patients with extensive NNRTI and PI resistance: analysis of study TMC 125–233. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 154).
136. De Meyer et al. Effect of baseline susceptibility and on-treatment mutations on TMC 114 and control PI efficacy: preliminary analysis of data from PI-experienced patients from POWER 1 and POWER 2. *13th Annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), Denver, 5–8 February 2006* (Abstract 157).